



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Principal

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil

B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Ref. No.

Date -

1.4.2 Feedback process of the Institution may be classified as follows:

Options:

- A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and feedback available on website
- B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been taken
- C. Feedback collected and analysed
- D. Feedback collected
- E. Feedback not collected



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil

President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

Principal

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil

B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Ref. No.

Date -

- Feedback Analysis 2016-2017**

Academic Year 2016-2017

2016-17

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.

Department : Home Science

Semester/Year 2016-17

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Depth of the course content including project work if any अभ्यासक्रमाची व्याप्ती : प्रकल्पासहित	✓			
02	Extent of coverage of course अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाका	✓			
03	Applicability /relevance to real life situations प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील त्याचे उपयोजन	✓			
04	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) शैक्षणिक मूल्ये : ज्ञान संकल्पना कौशल्ये तात्कीक क्षमता विस्तारवात्मक दृष्टीकोन.	✓			
05	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material वाचनसाहीत्याची सुस्पष्टता व संदर्भ नीयता	✓			
06	Relevance of additional source material (Library) अतिरिक्त संदर्भसाहित्य : ग्रंथालय	✓			
07	Extent of efforts required by students विद्यार्थीनींकडून आवश्यक प्रयत्नांची कक्षा	✓			
08	Overall rating एकूण गुणवत्ता	✓			

Academic Year 2016-2017

2016-17

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.2

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

**SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.**

Programme : B.Sc. F.Y.
Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year :

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

NAME OF THE TEACHER : Prof. Phadke sir

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) शिक्षकांचे मूलभूत ज्ञान : तुमच्या मतानुसार	✓			
02	Communication skills (in terms of articulation & comprehensibility) संवादकौशल्ये : स्पष्टता व समजण्यायोग्य	✓			
03	Sincerity/ Commitment of the teacher शिक्षकाची निष्ठा किंवा सचोटी	✓			
04	Interest generated by the teacher शिक्षकाद्वारे आवडनिर्मिती	✓			
05	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective अभ्यासक्रमाची पर्यावरणाशी एकात्मिकरण करण्याची क्षमता	✓			
06	Ability to integrate content with other course अभ्यासक्रमाची इतर विषयाशी सांगड घालण्याची क्षमता	✓			
07	Accessibility of the teacher in & out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) शिक्षकाची वर्गात व वर्गाबाहेर असणाऱी उपलब्धता : अभ्यास व वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेसाठी उपलब्धता व प्रेरणा	✓			
08	Ability to design quizzes/ tests/ assignments/examinations & projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course विद्यार्थीनींच्या मूल्यमापनासाठी प्रश्नमंजूषा चाचण्या परीपाठ परीक्षा व प्रकल्प रचना तयार करण्याची क्षमता	✓			
09	Provision of sufficient time for feedback प्रतिस्पादनासाठी पुरेसा अवधी	✓			
10	Overall rating एकूण गुणवत्ता	✓			

STUDENTS' OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME & TEACHING
(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

Department: Home Science

Course : B.Sc. J.P.B.Sc.

Teacher :
 ५।० कक्षा के शिक्षक

Year : 2016-13

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other,
तुम्हें एकापेक्षा जास्त पर्याय निवडू शकता फक्त त्यांत विरोधाभास होऊ नये असा.

1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
१. प्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. आव्हानात्मक ड. निरस्त
2. Background for benefiting from the course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) cannot say
२. अभ्यासक्रमातून होणाऱ्या लाभहोता.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा अधिक ड. सांगता येत नाही.
3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
३. विषय समजण्यास सोपा किंवा अवघड होता काय...
अ. सोपा ब. प्रयत्नपूर्वक समजण्याजोगा क. अवघड ड. अतिशय अवघड
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? ...
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
४. अभ्यासक्रमातील किती टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकविण्यात आला...
अ. ८५ ते १००% ब. ७० ते ८५% क. ५५ ते ७०% ड. ५५% पेक्षा कमी
5. What is your opinion about the library material & facilities for the course?.....
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) very poor
५. अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत.....
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा जास्त ड. अतिशय कमी
6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? ...
a) easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
६. निर्दिष्ट केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध होते....
अ. सहजपणे ब. थोड्या त्रासाने क. मुलीच उपलब्ध नव्हते ड. खूप प्रयत्नांनंतर
7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? ...
a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
७. शिक्षक हे वर्गांमध्ये किती पूर्वतयारीने येतात...
अ. खोलवर ब. समाधानकारक क. खूप कमी ड. समप्रमाणात
8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?.....
a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
८. शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्याकरिता किती सक्षम होते...
अ. नेहमी परीणामकारक ब. कधीतरी परीणामकारक क. समाधानकारक ड. नेहमीच अपरीणामकारक

Academic Year 2016-2017

9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?...
a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
१०. शिक्षक वर्गांमध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात....
अ. खूपवेळा ब. कधी कधी क. कधीच नाही ड. नेहमी
10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?...
a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class
c) encouraged discussion d) did not encouraged outside class
१०. होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात....
अ. प्रश्न विचारण्यास उत्तेजना देतात ब. वर्गांमध्ये चर्चेत सहभागी होतात
क. वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेत सहभागी होतात ड. उत्तेजना देत नाहीत
11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?...
a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
११. शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये कितीपट मदत करतात....
अ. खूप ब. कधीकधी क. सल्ला उपयुक्त नसतो ड. कधीच नाही
12. The teacher's approach can best be described as...
a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) can't say
१२. शिक्षकांचा दृष्टीकोन हा सर्वोत्तम चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल....
अ. नेहमी आदरणीय ब. कधी कधी रूक्ष क. नेहमी समान ड. सांगता येत नाही
13. Internal assessment was...
a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair
१३. अंतर्गत मूल्यांकन असे असते....
अ. नेहमीच पारदर्शक ब. कधी कधी अपारदर्शक क. नेहमीच अपारदर्शक ड. कधी कधी पारदर्शक
14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?....
a) helps to improve b) discouraging c) no special effect d) sometimes effective
१४. तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला....
अ. सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त ब. निरुत्साही क. काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही ड. कधी कधी परिणामकारक
15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?....
a) regularly/in time b) with helpful comment
c) often/ late d) without any comments
१५. विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात....
अ. नियमितपणे ब. कधीतरी क. उपयुक्त सूचनांसह ड. कोणतेही सूचना न देता
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?....
a) yes, fully b) yes, partly c) not discussed at all d) sometimes discussed
१६. तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा परीक्षाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का...
अ. होय पूर्णपणे ब. थोडी काही प्रमाणात क. चर्चा केली जात नाही ड. कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते
17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?...
a) yes b) no
if yes, was it helpful?
a) yes b) no
१७. वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते का.....
अ. होय ब. नाही
होय असल्यास ते उपयोगी असते का...
अ. होय ब. नाही
18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on the separate sheet..
१९. जर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असल्यास दिलेल्या जागेत किंवा वेगळ्या कागदावर लिहा.

कार्यालय

Academic Year 2016-2017

2016-17

श्रीमती रत्नप्रभादेवी मोहिते-पाटील गृहविज्ञान महिला महाविद्यालय, अकलुज

महाविद्यालयीन परिसर, भौतिक सुविधा आणि अभ्यासक्रम याबाबत पालकांची मते

पालकांचे नाव:- श्री सिताराम आनंदराव जगताप
पत्ता:- मु.पो. शेळफळ हवेली ता. वडोदर जि. पूणे

फोन / मोबाईल नं:- 02999295999 / 9823950666

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| १) आपल्या मते महाविद्यालयाच्या परिसराची शैक्षणिक अनुकूलता | अतिउत्तम उत्तम समाधानकारक असमाधानकारक |
| २) महाविद्यालयाची इमारत | उत्तम |
| ३) महाविद्यालयाच्या इमारतीचे गावाच्या दृष्टीने भौगोलीक स्थान | मध्यवर्ती |
| ४) वर्ग खोल्या व विद्यार्थी संख्या यांचे प्रमाण | उत्तम |
| ५) ग्रंथालय व ग्रंथालयीन सुविधा | चांगली |
| ६) पिण्याच्या पाण्याची सोय व पुरवठा | उत्तम |
| ७) प्रसाधन गृहाची संख्या व स्वच्छता | चांगली |
| ८) महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविला जाणारा अभ्यासक्रम | समाधानकारक |
| ९) महाविद्यालयामध्ये राबविले जाणारे अभ्यासोत्तर उपक्रम | |
| १०) महाविद्यालयातील फर्निचर व प्रयोगशाळा | सुंदर |
| ११) महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वृंद | उत्तम |

काही सुचना असल्यास - नाही

Gogate


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer options							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	39	97.5	01	2.5	00	00	00	00
2	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
3	40	39	97.5	01	2.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	39	97.5	01	2.5	00	00	00	00
5	40	26	65	14	35	00	00	00	00
6	40	32	80	08	20	00	00	00	00
7	40	35	87.5	05	12.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	37	92.5	03	7.5	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17
class : T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2016-17 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 97.5 % students commented as very good , while 2.5 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 75 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 25 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 97.5 % , good by 2.5 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 97.5 % of students, 2.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 65 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 35 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 80 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 20 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 87.5 % of students, 12.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 92.5 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 7.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

The analyses of feedback on curricular aspects reveals that students are very much appreciate the curriculum.

Action taken :

- Textual reading material should be increased.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	38	95	2	5	00	00	00	00
2	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
3	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	38	95	2	5	00	00	00	00
5	40	38	95	2	5	00	00	00	00
6	40	38	95	2	5	00	00	00	00
7	40	38	95	2	5	00	00	00	00
8	40	36	87.5	4	10	00	00	00	00
9	40	36	87.5	4	10	00	00	00	00
10	40	40	100	0	0	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Sharati S. Bhosale Class: T.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016 - 17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher... 95 % students commented as very good, while 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 87.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 12.5 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 87.5 %, as good by 12.5 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 95 % of students, 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 95 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 95 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 95 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 87.5 % of students, 10 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 87.5 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 10 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 100 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 0 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

This analyses show that students like the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

More students followed the guideline of the examination given by the teacher.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
2	40	33	82.5	7	17.5	00	00	00	00
3	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
4	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
5	40	33	82.5	7	17.5	00	00	00	00
6	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
7	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
8	40	30	7.5	10	25	00	00	00	00
9	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
10	40	34	85	6	15	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Phadke Amit B.

Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 80 % students commented as very good, while 20 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 82.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 17.5 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 75 %, as good by 25 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 80 % of students, 20 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 82.5 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 17.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 77.5 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 22.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 80 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 20 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 7.5 % of students, 25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 87.5 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 12.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 85 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.


Conclusion :

This analyses show that students like the teacher's positive approach.

Action taken :

Students followed teacher positiveness.

KUBM


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malsirasi Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
2	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	00	00	00	00
3	40	35	87.5	05	12.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	35	87.5	05	12.5	00	00	00	00
5	40	34	85	05	12.5	01	2.5	00	00
6	40	33	82.5	06	15	01	2.5	00	00
7	40	33	82.5	07	17.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00
9	40	32	80	08	20	00	00	00	00
10	40	39	97.5	01	2.5	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suresh Rahul N. Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher.....75 % students commented as very good, while 25 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 67.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 13.5 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 87.5 %, as good by 12.5 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 87.5 % of students, 12.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 85 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 12.5 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 82.5 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 15 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 82.5 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 17.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 70 % of students, 30 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 80 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20 % as good, 0 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 97.5 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 2.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching methods.

Action taken :

Create students interest in the syllabus.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017


Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar J.B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
2	40	23	57.5	17	42.5	00	00	00	00
3	40	31	77.5	09	22.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	22	55	18	45	00	00	00	00
5	40	22	55	18	45	00	00	00	00
6	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	00	00	00	00
7	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	25	62.5	15	37.5	00	00	00	00
9	40	26	65	14	25	00	00	00	00
10	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Nandhar J.B. Class : T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 77.5 % students commented as very good, while 22.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 57.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 42.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 77.5 %, as good by 22.5 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 55 % of students, 45 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 55 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 45 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 67.5 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 32.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 67.5 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 32.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 62.5 % of students, 37.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 65 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 67.5 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 32.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

Encourage students to take the assignments.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
2	40	23	57.5	17	42.2	00	00	00	00
3	40	32	80.1	08	20	00	00	00	00
4	40	33	82.5	07	17.5	00	00	00	00
5	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00
6	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
7	40	31	77.5	09	22.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
9	40	32	80	08	20	00	00	00	00
10	40	32	80	08	20	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 16-17

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

class : T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.


1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher.....80..... % students commented as very good, while 20..... as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
2. 57.5..... % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 42.5..... % as good 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 80.1..... %, as good by 20..... %, as satisfactory by 0..... % and 0..... % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 82.5..... % of students, 17.5..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
5. 70..... % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 30..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
6. 75..... % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 25..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
7. 77.5..... % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 22.5..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 72.5..... % of students, 27.5..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.
9. 80..... % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20..... % as good, 0..... % satisfactory and 0..... % unsatisfactory.
10. 80..... % of students gave overall rating as very good, 20..... % as good, 0..... % as satisfactory and 0..... % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysis show that students appreciate the teachers subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students.


PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	37	92.5	03	7.5	00	00	00	00
2	40	35	87.5	04	10	01	2.5	00	00
3	40	31	77.5	09	22.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	35	87.5	04	10	01	2.5	00	00
5	40	34	85	05	12.5	01	2.5	00	00
6	40	38	95	02	5	00	00	00	00
7	40	35	87.5	04	10	01	2.5	00	00
8	40	36	90	04	10	00	00	00	00
9	40	38	95	01	2.5	01	2.5	00	00
10	40	35	87.5	04	10	01	2.5	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Class : T.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 92.5 % students commented as very good, while 7.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 87.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 10 % as good 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 77.5 %, as good by 22.5 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 87.5 % of students, 10 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 85 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 12.5 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 95 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 87.5 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 10 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 90 % of students, 10 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 95 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 2.5 % as good, 2.5 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 87.5 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 10 % as good, 2.5 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysis show that students appreciate the teachers subjects knowledge

Action taken :

More students centric approach could be followed.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	25	51.02	24	48.97	00	00	00	00
2	49	20	40.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
3	49	22	44.89	27	55.1	00	00	00	00
4	49	25	51.02	24	48.97	00	00	00	00
5	49	20	40.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
6	49	22	44.89	27	55.1	00	00	00	00
7	49	20	40.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
8	49	19	38.77	30	61.22	00	00	00	00
9	49	20	48.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
10	49	22	44.89	27	55.1	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise Class - Sy B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016 - 17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 51.02% students commented as very good, while 48.97% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 40.81% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 44.89%, as good by 55.1%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 51.02% of students, 48.97% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 40.81% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 44.89% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 55.1% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 40.81% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 38.77% of students, 61.22% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 48.81% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 44.89% of students gave overall rating as very good, 55.1% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Abone feedback analysed show that students appreciate the teacher's Subject knowledge.

Action taken :

Some student centric approach should be followed.

Principal


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulji Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	26	53.06	23	46.93	00	00	00	00
2	49	20	40.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
3	49	28	57.14	21	42.85	00	00	00	00
4	49	25	51.02	24	48.97	00	00	00	00
5	49	30	61.22	19	38.77	00	00	00	00
6	49	26	53.06	23	46.93	00	00	00	00
7	49	25	51.02	24	48.97	00	00	00	00
8	49	19	38.77	30	61.22	00	00	00	00
9	49	24	48.97	25	51.02	00	00	00	00
10	49	24	48.97	24	48.97	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17
class : S.Y.B-SC

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar P.P.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher, 53.06% students commented as very good, while 46.93% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 40.81% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 57.14%, as good by 42.85%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 57.02% of students, 48.97% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 61.22% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 38.77% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 53.06% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 46.93% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 57.02% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 48.97% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 38.77% of students, 61.22% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 48.97% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 51.02% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 48.97% of students gave overall rating as very good, 48.97% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	32	65.3	17	34.69	00	00	00	00
2	49	28	57.14	21	42.85	00	00	00	00
3	49	31	63.26	18	36.73	00	00	00	00
4	49	31	63.26	18	36.73	00	00	00	00
5	49	31	63.26	18	36.73	00	00	00	00
6	49	31	63.26	18	36.73	00	00	00	00
7	49	28	57.14	21	42.85	00	00	00	00
8	49	30	61.22	19	38.77	00	00	00	00
9	49	30	61.22	19	38.77	00	00	00	00
10	49	32	65.3	17	34.69	00	00	00	00





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 16-17

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Suneel Rahul N. Class : S.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher, 65.3 % students commented as very good, while 34.69 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 57.14 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 42.85 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 63.26 %, as good by 36.73 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 63.26 % of students, 36.73 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 63.26 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 36.73 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 63.26 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 36.73 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 57.14 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 42.85 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 61.22 % of students, 38.77 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 61.22 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 38.77 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 65.3 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 34.69 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge

Action taken :

Dr. Suneel Encourage students to read references Books

Suneel

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal, Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	40	81.63	9	18.36	00	00	00	00
2	49	38	77.55	11	22.44	00	00	00	00
3	49	36	73.46	13	26.53	00	00	00	00
4	49	37	75.51	12	24.48	00	00	00	00
5	49	32	65.3	17	34.69	00	00	00	00
6	49	35	71.42	14	28.57	00	00	00	00
7	49	39	79.59	10	20.4	00	00	00	00
8	49	35	71.42	14	28.57	00	00	00	00
9	49	37	75.51	12	24.48	00	00	00	00
10	49	36	73.46	13	26.53	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit B. Class: SY.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 81.63% students commented as very good, while 18.36% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 77.55% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 22.44% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 73.46%, as good by 26.53%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 75.57% of students, 24.48% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 65.3% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 34.69% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 71.42% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 28.57% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 79.59% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 20.4% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 71.42% of students, 28.57% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 75.51% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 24.48% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 73.46% of students gave overall rating as very good, 26.53% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Abone feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge

Action taken :

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar J.B.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	24	48.97	25	51.02	00	00	00	00
2	49	27	55.1	22	44.89	00	00	00	00
3	49	22	44.89	27	55.1	00	00	00	00
4	49	23	46.93	26	53.06	00	00	00	00
5	49	26	53.06	23	46.93	00	00	00	00
6	49	20	40.81	29	59.18	00	00	00	00
7	49	22	44.89	27	55.1	00	00	00	00
8	49	24	48.97	25	51.02	00	00	00	00
9	49	24	48.97	25	51.02	00	00	00	00
10	49	26	53.06	23	46.93	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17
CLASS :- S.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar J.B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 48.97% students commented as very good, while 51.02% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 55.1% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 44.89% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 44.89%, as good by 55.1%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 46.93% of students, 53.06% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 53.06% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 46.93% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 40.81% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 59.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 44.89% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 55.1% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 48.97% of students, 51.02% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 48.97% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 51.02% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 53.06% of students gave overall rating as very good, 46.93% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysis show the students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

More students centric approach should be followed.

KWBM

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akul Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	74	43	58.1	31	41.89	00	00	00	00
2	74	35	47.29	39	52.7	00	00	00	00
3	74	40	54.05	34	45.94	00	00	00	00
4	74	35	47.29	39	52.7	00	00	00	00
5	74	34	45.94	40	54.05	00	00	00	00
6	74	32	43.24	42	56.75	00	00	00	00
7	74	39	52.7	35	47.29	00	00	00	00
8	74	38	51.35	36	48.64	00	00	00	00
9	74	42	56.75	32	43.24	00	00	00	00
10	74	31	41.89	43	58.1	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Bharate J. Phosale

Class : F.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 58.1 % students commented as very good, while 41.89 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 47.29 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 52.7 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 54.05 %, as good by 45.94 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 47.29 % of students, 52.7 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 45.94 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 54.05 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 43.24 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 56.75 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 52.7 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 47.29 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 51.35 % of students, 48.64 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 56.75 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 43.24 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 41.89 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 58.1 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers subject knowledge.

Action taken :

Create students interest in the syllabus.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017


Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	74	44	59.45	30	40.54	00	00	00	00
2	74	35	47.29	39	52.7	00	00	00	00
3	74	39	52.7	35	47.29	00	00	00	00
4	74	36	48.64	38	51.35	00	00	00	00
5	74	38	51.35	36	48.64	00	00	00	00
6	74	32	43.24	42	56.75	00	00	00	00
7	74	34	45.94	40	54.05	00	00	00	00
8	74	35	47.29	39	52.7	00	00	00	00
9	74	38	51.35	36	48.64	00	00	00	00
10	74	43	58.1	31	41.89	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar R.2. class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 59.45 % students commented as very good, while 40.54 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 47.29 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 52.7 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 52.7 %, as good by 47.29 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 48.64 % of students, 51.35 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 51.35 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 48.64 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 43.24 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 54.05 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 45.94 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 54.5 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 47.29 % of students, 52.7 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 51.35 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 48.64 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 58.1 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 41.89 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

some students centric approach should be followed.

28/06/17

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	74	60	81.08	14	18.91	00	00	00	00
2	74	45	60.81	29	39.18	00	00	00	00
3	74	50	67.56	24	32.43	00	00	00	00
4	74	52	70.27	22	29.72	00	00	00	00
5	74	53	71.62	21	28.37	00	00	00	00
6	74	51	68.91	23	31.08	00	00	00	00
7	74	49	66.21	25	33.78	00	00	00	00
8	74	51	68.91	23	31.08	00	00	00	00
9	74	52	70.27	22	29.72	00	00	00	00
10	74	55	74.32	19	25.67	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 2017

Name of the Teacher :

Dr. Suresh Rahul N.

class : - F.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 91.08% students commented as very good, while 9.91% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 81% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 39.18% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 67.56%, as good by 32.43%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 70.27% of students, 29.72% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 71.62% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 28.37% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 68.91% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 31.08% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 66.21% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 33.78% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 68.91% of students, 31.08% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 70.27% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 29.72% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 74.32% of students gave overall rating as very good, 25.67% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

create students interest in the syllabus.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar J.B.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	74	54	72.97	20	27.02	00	00	00	00
2	74	43	58.1	31	41.89	00	00	00	00
3	74	46	62.16	28	37.83	00	00	00	00
4	74	50	67.56	24	32.43	00	00	00	00
5	74	36	48.64	38	51.35	00	00	00	00
6	74	35	42.29	39	52.7	00	00	00	00
7	74	40	54.05	34	45.94	00	00	00	00
8	74	49	66.21	25	33.78	00	00	00	00
9	74	40	54.05	34	45.94	00	00	00	00
10	74	46	62.16	28	37.83	00	00	00	00





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Class : - F.Y. B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Manohar J.B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.97 % students commented as very good, while 27.02 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 58.1 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 41.89 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 62.16 %, as good by 37.83 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 67.56 % of students, 32.43 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 48.64 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 51.35 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 42.29 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 57.7 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 57.05 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 42.94 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 66.21 % of students, 33.78 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 54.05 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good 45.94 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 62.16 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 37.83 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	74	68	91.89	6	8.1	00	00	00	00
2	74	59	79.72	15	20.27	00	00	00	00
3	74	58	78.37	16	21.62	00	00	00	00
4	74	61	82.43	13	17.56	00	00	00	00
5	74	50	67.56	24	32.43	00	00	00	00
6	74	58	78.37	16	21.62	00	00	00	00
7	74	50	67.56	24	32.43	00	00	00	00
8	74	59	79.72	15	20.27	00	00	00	00
9	74	60	81.08	14	18.91	00	00	00	00
10	74	63	85.13	11	14.86	00	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKULJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit R.

Class - F.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2016-17 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 91.89% students commented as very good, while 8.1% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 79.72% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 20.27% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 78.37%, as good by 21.62%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 82.43% of students, 17.56% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 67.56% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 32.43% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 78.37% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 21.62% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 67.56% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 22.43% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 79.72% of students, 20.27% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 81.08% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 18.91% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 85.13% of students gave overall rating as very good, 14.86% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge

Action taken :

Encourage students to ask questions.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00
2	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00
3	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
5	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
6	40	24	60	16	40	00	00	00	00
7	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00
9	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
10	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
11	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
12	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
13	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
14	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
15	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
16	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
17	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale class; T. Y. B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 70 % of students commented as adequate, 30 % as inadequate, 0 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 70 % of students, inadequate by 30 %, more than adequate by 0 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 77.5 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 22.5 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 75 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 25 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 72.5 % of students opted for adequate, 27.5 % for inadequate, 0 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 60 % of students opted for easily, 40 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.
7. 72.5 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 27.5 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 70 %, as sometimes effective by 30 %, just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 75 % students mentioned mostly yes, 25 % as sometime, 0 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 72.5 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 27.5 % as get involved in discussion in class, 0 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 75 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 25 %, as not at all helpful by 0 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 77.5 % of students, as sometimes rude by 22.5 % as always indifferent by 0 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 75 % of students, sometimes unfair by 25 %, usually unfair by 0 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 72.5 %, discouraging by 22.5 %, no special effect by 0 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 75 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 25 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 72.5 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 27.5 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 75 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 25 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

Teachers teaching and curricular aspects are best rated by students.

Action taken :

keep it an
Preparation for the classes in
needed.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 3 Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher : Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	32	80	7	8.5	1	2.5	00	00
2	40	26	65	7	8.5	7	8.5	00	00
3	40	28	70	12	30	0	0	00	00
4	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	0	00	00
5	40	24	60	16	40	0	0	00	00
6	40	30	75	10	25	0	0	00	00
7	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	0	00	00
8	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	0	00	00
9	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
10	40	23	57.5	17	42.5	0	0	00	00
11	40	30	75	10	25	0	0	00	00
12	40	29	72.5	10	25	1	2.5	00	00
13	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0	00	00
14	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0	00	00
15	40	30	75	10	25	0	0	00	00
16	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0	00	00
17	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	0	5	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suneel Rahul N. class: T.Y.B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 80 % of students commented as adequate, 8.5 % as inadequate, 2.5 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 65 % of students, inadequate by 8.5 % , more than adequate by 8.5 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 70 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 30 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.

4. 67.5 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 32.5 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 60 % of students opted for adequate, 40 % for inadequate, 0 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 75 % of students opted for easily, 25 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.

7. 67.5 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 13.5 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 67.5 % , as sometimes effective by 32.5 % , just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 70 % students mentioned mostly yes, 25 % as sometime, 5 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 57.5 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 42.5 % as get involved in discussion in class, 0 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 75 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 25 %, as not at all helpful by 0 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 72.5 % of students, as sometimes rude by 25 % as always indifferent by 2.5 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 77.5 % of students, sometimes unfair by 22.5 %, usually unfair by 0 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 72.5 %, discouraging by 22.5 %, no special effect by 0 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 75 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 25 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 77.5 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 22.5 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 72.5 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 27.5 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

Teachers teaching & curricular aspects are best rated by students.

Action taken :

Encourage students to ask questions.

KUM

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar J.B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
2	40	24	60	16	40	00	00	00	00
3	40	23	57.5	17	42.5	00	00	00	00
4	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
5	40	21	52.5	19	47.5	00	00	00	00
6	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
7	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	00	00	00	00
8	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
9	40	34	85	6	15	00	00	00	00
10	40	34	85	6	15	00	00	00	00
11	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
12	40	34	85	6	15	00	00	00	00
13	40	33	82.5	7	17.5	00	00	00	00
14	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
15	40	34	85	6	15	00	00	00	00
16	40	35	87.5	5	12.5	00	00	00	00
17	40	33	82.5	7	17.5	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar J.B. T.Y.B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 75 % of students commented as adequate, 25 % as inadequate, 0 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 60 % of students, inadequate by 40 %, more than adequate by 0 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 57.5 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 42.5 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 75 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 25 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 52.5 % of students opted for adequate, 47.5 % for inadequate, 0 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 87.5 % of students opted for easily, 12.5 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.
7. 67.5 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 32.5 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 87.5 %, as sometimes effective by 12.5 %, just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 85 % students mentioned mostly yes, 15 % as sometime, 0 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 85 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 15 % as get involved in discussion in class, 0 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 75 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 25 %, as not at all helpful by 0 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 85 % of students, as sometimes rude by 15 % as always indifferent by 0 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 82.5 % of students, sometimes unfair by 17.5 %, usually unfair by 0 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 80 %, discouraging by 20 %, no special effect by 0 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 85 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 15 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 87.5 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 12.5 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 82.5 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 17.5 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and an affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

Keep it and focus on students participation in teaching learning.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Rathaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	26	65	10	25	4	10	00	00
2	40	24	60	11	27.5	5	12.5	00	00
3	40	28	70	12	30	0	0	00	00
4	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
5	40	28	70	8	20	4	10	00	00
6	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	0	0	00	00
7	40	22	25	18	45	0	0	00	00
8	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
9	40	30	75	10	25	0	0	00	00
10	40	29	72.5	10	25	1	2.5	00	00
11	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	0	00	00
12	40	28	70	11	27.5	1	2.5	00	00
13	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
14	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
15	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	00	00	00	00
16	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
17	40	28	70	12	30	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKULJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Chhaya D. Bhosale

Class: - T.Y. B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 65 % of students commented as adequate, 25 % as inadequate, 10 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 60 % of students, inadequate by 27.5 %, more than adequate by 12.5 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 70 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 30 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 70 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 25 % that 70 to 85 %, 5 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 70 % of students opted for adequate, 20 % for inadequate, 10 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 72.5 % of students opted for easily, 27.5 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.
7. 25 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 45 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 70 %, as sometimes effective by 25 %, just satisfactorily by 5 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 75 % students mentioned mostly yes, 25 % as sometime, 0 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. ~~72.5~~ % students elected encouraged to raise questions, ~~2.5~~ % as get involved in discussion in class, ~~2.5~~ % as encouraged discussion and ~~0~~ % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by ~~67.5~~ % of students, as sometimes helpful by ~~32.5~~ %, as not at all helpful by ~~0~~ % and didn't advise by ~~0~~ %.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by ~~70~~ % of students, as sometimes rude by ~~27.5~~ % as always indifferent by ~~2.5~~ % and ~~0~~ % of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by ~~75~~ % of students, sometimes unfair by ~~25~~ %, usually unfair by ~~0~~ % and sometimes fair by ~~0~~ % of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by ~~77.5~~ %, discouraging by ~~22.5~~ %, no special effect by ~~0~~ % and sometimes effective by ~~0~~ % of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by ~~77.5~~ %, regular feedback with helpful comments by ~~22.5~~ %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by ~~0~~ % and feedback without comments was the choice of ~~0~~ % of students.
16. ~~75~~ % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, ~~25~~ % students noted that they were partly discussed, ~~0~~ % students that they were not discussed at all and only ~~0~~ % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. ~~70~~ % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and ~~30~~ % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and an affirmative remarks given

Action taken :

Preparations for the classes is needed

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Rathaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2016-2017


Name of Teacher : Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	32	80	8	20	00	00	00	00
2	40	25	62.5	9	22.5	6	15	00	00
3	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
4	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
5	40	23	57.5	10	25	7	17.5	00	00
6	40	30	75	10	25	00	00	00	00
7	40	26	65	10	25	4	10	00	00
8	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	00	00	00	00
9	40	30	75	8	20	2	5	00	00
10	40	26	65	10	25	4	10	00	00
11	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0	00	00
12	40	30	75	9	22.5	1	2.5	00	00
13	40	32	80	8	20	0	0	00	00
14	40	32	80	8	20	0	0	00	00
15	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0	00	00
16	40	32	80	8	20	0	0	00	00
17	40	32	80	8	20	0	0	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016 - 17

Name of the Teacher :

Mr. Ghadge Anil B. T.Y.B.Sc

1. Regarding syllabus, 80 % of students commented as adequate, 20 % as inadequate, 0 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 62.5 % of students, inadequate by 22.5 %, more than adequate by 15 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 75 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 25 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.

4. 72.5 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 27.5 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 57.5 % of students opted for adequate, 25 % for inadequate, 17.5 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 75 % of students opted for easily, 25 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.

7. 65 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 25 % as satisfactorily, 10 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 72.5 %, as sometimes effective by 27.5 %, just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 75 % students mentioned mostly yes, 20 % as sometime, 5 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 65 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 25 % as get involved in discussion in class, 10 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 77.5 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 22.5 %, as not at all helpful by 0 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 75 % of students, as sometimes rude by 22.5 % as always indifferent by 2.5 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 80 % of students, sometimes unfair by 20 %, usually unfair by 0 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 80 %, discouraging by 20 %, no special effect by 0 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 77.5 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 22.5 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 80 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 20 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 80 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 20 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and an affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

Encourage students to read subject books.

K. J. B. M.

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
2	40	26	65	10	25	4	10	00	00
3	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
4	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	0	00	00
5	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
6	40	29	72.5	10	25	1	2.5	00	00
7	40	27	67.5	10	25	3	7.5	00	00
8	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
9	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
10	40	25	62.5	10	25	5	12.5	00	00
11	40	29	72.5	10	25	1	2.5	00	00
12	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
13	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
14	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
15	40	28	70	10	25	2	5	00	00
16	40	27	67.5	10	25	3	7.5	00	00
17	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	0	7.5	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2016-17

Name of the Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar

17.13.5c

1. Regarding syllabus, 70 % of students commented as adequate, 25 % as inadequate, 5 % as challenging and % as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 65 % of students, inadequate by 25 %, more than adequate by 10 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 70 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 25 % as manageable, 0.5 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.

4. 67.5 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 32.5 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0.5 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 70 % of students opted for adequate, 25 % for inadequate, 5 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 72.5 % of students opted for easily, 2.5 % for with some difficulty, 2.5 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.

7. 67.5 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 25 % as satisfactorily, 7.5 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 70 %, as sometimes effective by 25 %, just satisfactorily by 5 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 70 % students mentioned mostly yes, 25 % as sometime, 5 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 70 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 25 % as get involved in discussion in class, 5 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 72.5 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 25 %, as not at all helpful by 2.5 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 70 % of students, as sometimes rude by 25 % as always indifferent by 25 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 70 % of students, sometimes unfair by 25 %, usually unfair by 5 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 70 %, discouraging by 25 %, no special effect by 5 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 70 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 25 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 5 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 67.5 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 25 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 7.5 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 67.5 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 32.5 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and an affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

KUBO

Focus on students participation in teaching learning.


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akuj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM PARENTS

Academic Year : 2016-2017

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	10	83.33	2	16.66	0	0	0	0
2	12	10	83.33	2	16.66	0	0	0	0
3	12	9	75.00	3	25.00	0	0	0	0
4	12	8	66.66	4	33.33	0	0	0	0
5	12	8	66.66	4	33.33	0	0	0	0
6	12	8	66.66	4	33.33	0	0	0	0
7	12	8	66.66	4	33.33	0	0	0	0
8	12	10	83.33	2	16.66	0	0	0	0
9	12	9	75.00	3	25.00	0	0	0	0
10	12	8	66.66	4	33.33	0	0	0	0
11	12	10	83.33	2	16.66	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 18/06/2016 at 2.00 pm in the NAAE room.

Following issues were discussed in the following meeting

01. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 23.04.2016

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed & finalized by all the members of the committee.

- 02 Subject 02: To study, analyze and infer the feedback obtained for academic year

Discussion: The feedback for the last academic year was collected and analysed by the committee members. The graphical and statistical analysis was done and presented before the committee. Feedback from students, parents and teachers were collected and analyzed systematically. The affirmative as well as low graded feedbacks were discussed in detail.

03. Subject 03: To discuss the action plan to be undertaken as per the analysis of the feedback report

Discussion: On the basis of the feedback analysis and conclusions made after the analysis, for each criterion of the feedback, mode of action was discussed & mentioned specifically in the feedback analysis report. All the

plan of actions was put before the principal for evaluation & necessary execution.

04. Subject 04: To discuss any changes/improvements in the feedback collection methods for the current academic year

Discussion: The feedback collection and analysis system was discussed by the committee. The present mode was found to be easy and useful by all the members and hence decided to go with the same system hereafter also

05. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting

Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting.

KJB
Dr. Manohar Paysheda

Principal
Dr. ~~Manohar~~ Manohar Patil
Smt. Ratnaprabha Subhakar Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Matshiras Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 12/04/2017 at 4.45 pm in the NAAC room. Following issues were discussed in the meeting.

01. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 18.06.2016.

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed & finalized by all the members of committee.

02. Subject 02: To discuss the method for analyses of feedback collected for the current academic year.

Discussion: It was decided to prepare the offline feedback forms.

03. Subject 03: To discuss the issue of action to be taken on all feedback collected.

Discussion: It was decided to specifically take notes on feedback, analyses and convey the improvements to be made. Members suggested to put the conclusion and mode of action of the IQAR.

04. Subject 04: To discuss the use of online feedback mechanisms for next year.

Discussion: It was decided from next academic year feedback would be taken through online mode.

05. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting.

Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting.

KUTBM

Dr. Manohar Jaysheela

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Rajnarayanbadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliji Tal, Maharashtra Dist. Solapur



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai

NAAC A-ACCREDITED INSTITUTION



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil

President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil

B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Principal

Ref. No.

Date -

- Feedback Analysis 2017-2018**

Academic Year 2017-2018

fy Bsc

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.

Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year : 2017-18

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Depth of the course content including project work if any अभ्यासक्रमाची व्याप्ती : प्रकल्पासदीत	✓			
02	Extent of coverage of course अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाका	✓			
03	Applicability /relevance to real life situations प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील त्याचे उपयोजन	✓			
04	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) शैक्षणिक मूल्ये : ज्ञान संकल्पना कौशल्ये ताद्रीक क्षमता विस्तारवाक दृष्टीकोन.	✓			
05	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material वाचनसादीत्याची सुस्पष्टता व संदर्भ नीयता	✓			
06	Relevance of additional source material (Library) अतिरिक्त सदरर्भाहित्य : ग्रंथालय	✓			
07	Extent of efforts required by students विद्यार्थीनीकडून आवश्यक प्रयत्नांची कक्षा	✓			
08	Overall rating सकल गुणवत्ता	✓			

Academic Year 2017-2018

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.2

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.
Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year :

2017-18

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	E
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

NAME OF THE TEACHER :

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) शिक्षकाचे मूलभूत ज्ञान : तुमच्या मतानुसार	✓			
02	Communication skills (in terms of articulation & comprehensibility) संवादकौशल्ये : स्पष्टता व समजण्यायोग्य	✓			
03	Sincerity/ Commitment of the teacher शिक्षकाची निष्ठा किंवा सचोटी	✓			
04	Interest generated by the teacher शिक्षकाद्वारे आवडनिर्मिती		✓		
05	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective अभ्यासक्रमाची पर्यावरणाशी एकतात्मिकरण करण्याची क्षमता		✓		
06	Ability to integrate content with other course अभ्यासक्रमाची इतर विषयाशी सांगड घालण्याची क्षमता	✓			
07	Accessibility of the teacher in & out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) शिक्षकाची वर्गात व वर्गाबाहेर असण्याची उपलब्धता : अभ्यास व वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेसाठी उपलब्धता व प्रेरणा	✓			
08	Ability to design quizzes/ tests/ assignments/examinations & projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course विद्यार्थीनींच्या मूल्यमापनासाठी प्रश्नगंज्या चाचण्या परीपाठ परीक्षा व प्रकल्प रचना तयार करण्याची क्षमता	✓			
09	Provision of sufficient time for feedback प्रतिसादासाठी पुरेसा अवधी		✓		
10	Overall rating एकूण गुणवत्ता	✓			

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.3

STUDENTS' OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME & TEACHING
(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN,
AKLUJ.

Department: Home Science

Course : B.Sc.

Teacher :
.....

Year : 2017-18

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other,
तुम्ही एकपेक्षा जास्त पर्याय निवडू शकता फक्त त्यांत विरोधाभास होऊ देऊ नका.

1. The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
१. प्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हा होता.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. आव्हानात्मक ड. निरस
2. Background for benefiting from the course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) cannot say
२. अभ्यासक्रमावून होणारा लाभ होता.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा अधिक ड. सांगता येत नाही.
3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
३. विषय समजण्यास सोपा किंवा अवघड होता काय....
अ. सोपा ब. प्रयत्नपूर्वक समजण्याजोगा क. अवघड ड. अतिशय अवघड
4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?...
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
४. अभ्यासक्रमातील किती टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकविण्यात आला....
अ. ८५ ते १००% ब. ७० ते ८५% क. ५५ ते ७०% ड. ५५% पेक्षा कमी
5. What is your opinion about the library material & facilities for the course?.....
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) very poor
५. अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत.....
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा जास्त ड. अतिसामान्य
6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?...
a) easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
६. निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध होते....
अ. सहजपणे ब. थोड्या त्रासाने क. मुलीच उपलब्ध नव्हते ड. खूप प्रयत्नानंतर
7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes?...
a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
७. शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती पूर्वतयारीने येतात....
अ. खोलवर ब. समाधानकारक क. खूप कमी ड. समप्रमाणात
8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?.....
a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
८. शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्याकरीता किती सक्षम होते....
अ. नेहमी परीणामकारक ब. कधीतरी परीणामकारक क. समाधानकारक ड. नेहमीच अपरीणामकारक

Academic Year 2017-2018

9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?...
- a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
१०. शिक्षक वर्गांमध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात...?
- अ. खूपवेळा ब. कधी कधी क. कधीच नाही ड. नेहमी
10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?...
- a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class
- c) encouraged discussion d) did not encourage outside class
१०. होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात...?
- अ. प्रश्न विचारण्यास उत्तेजना देतात ब. वर्गांमध्ये चर्चेत सहभागी होतात
- क. वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेत सहभागी होतात ड. उत्तेजना देत नाहीत
11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?...
- a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
११. अभ्यासक्रमातील किती टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकविण्यात आला...?
- अ. ८५ ते १००% ब. ७० ते ८५% क. ५५ ते ७०% ड. ५५% पेक्षा कमी
12. The teacher's approach can best be described as...
- a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) can't say
१२. शिक्षकांचा दृष्टीकोन हा सर्वदा चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल...
- अ. नेहमी आदरणीय ब. कधी कधी रूक्ष क. नेहमी समान ड. सांगता येत नाही
13. Internal assessment was...
- a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair
१३. अंतर्गत गुल्यांकन असे असते...?
- अ. नेहमीच पारदर्शक ब. कधी कधी अपारदर्शक क. नेहमीच अपारदर्शक ड. कधी कधी पारदर्शक
14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?....
- a) helps to improve b) discouraging c) no special effect d) sometimes effective
१४. तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला...?
- अ. सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त ब. निरुत्साही क. काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही ड. कधी कधी परिणामकारक
15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?....
- a) regularly/in time b) with helpful comment
- c) often/ late d) without any comments
१५. विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात...?
- अ. नियमितपणे ब. कधीतरी क. उपयुक्त सूचनांसह ड. कोणतेही सूचना न देता
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?...
- a) yes, fully b) yes, partly c) not discussed at all d) sometimes discussed
१६. तुमच्या चासणी परीक्षा परीपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का...
- अ. होय पूर्णपणे ब. त्रैय काही प्रमाणात क. चर्चा केली जात नाही ड. कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते
17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?...
- a) yes b) no
- if yes, was it helpful?
- a) yes b) no
१७. वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाची संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते का.....?
- अ. होय ब. नाही
- होय असल्यास ते उपयोगी असते का...
- अ. होय ब. नाही
18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on the separate sheet..
१९. जर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही गेते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असल्यास दिलेल्या जागेत किंवा वेगळ्या कागदावर लिहा.

Academic Year 2017-2018

2016-2017

श्रीमती रत्नप्रभादेवी मोहिते-पाटील गृहविज्ञान महिला महाविद्यालय, अकलूज

महाविद्यालयीन परिसर, भौतिक सुविधा आणि अभ्यासक्रम याबाबत पालकांची मते

पालकांचे नाव:- शकुंतला लोढे

पता:- अकलूज, ता- माळशिरस जि- सोलापूर

फोन / मोबाईल नं :-

	अतिउत्तम	उत्तम	समाधानकारक	असमाधानकारक
१) आपल्या मते महाविद्यालयाच्या परिसराची शैक्षणिक अनुकूलता	✓			
२) महाविद्यालयाची इमारत	✓			
३) महाविद्यालयाच्या इमारतीचे गावाच्या दृष्टीने भौगोलीक स्थान	✓			
४) वर्ग खोल्या व विद्यार्थी संख्या यांचे प्रमाण	✓			
५) ग्रंथालय व ग्रंथालयीन सुविधा		✓		
६) पिण्याच्या पाण्याची सोय व पुरवठा		✓		
७) प्रसाधन गृहाची संख्या व स्वच्छता		✓		
८) महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविला जाणारा अभ्यासक्रम	✓			
९) महाविद्यालयामध्ये राबविले जाणारे अभ्यासोत्तर उपक्रम	✓			
१०) महाविद्यालयातील फर्निचर व प्रयोगशाळा		✓		
११) महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वृंद		✓		

काही सुचना असल्यास

Academic Year 2017-2018

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS Teachers

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.

Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year : 2017 - 2018

Name : Ghadge A.B.

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Depth of the course content including project work if any अभ्यासक्रमाची व्याप्ती : प्रकल्पासाठी	✓			
02	Extent of coverage of course अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाक	✓			
03	Applicability /relevance to real life situations प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील त्याचे उपयोजन	✓			
04	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) शैक्षणिक मूल्ये : ज्ञान संकल्पना कौशल्ये ताकदीक क्षमता विस्तारात्मक दृष्टीकोन.	✓			
05	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material वाचनसाहित्याची सुस्पष्टता व संदर्भ नीयता	✓			
06	Relevance of additional source material (Library) अतिरिक्त संदर्भसाहित्य : ग्रंथालय	✓			
07	Extent of efforts required by students विद्यार्थीनींकडून आवश्यक प्रयत्नांची कक्षा	✓			
08	Overall rating सकून गुणवत्ता	✓			

Feedback from Teachers


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	37	80.43	09	19.56	00	00	00	00
2	46	33	71.73	11	23.91	00	00	02	4.34
3	46	40	86.95	04	8.69	02	4.34	00	00
4	46	31	67.39	13	28.26	02	4.34	00	00
5	46	25	54.34	19	41.30	02	4.34	00	00
6	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
7	46	33	71.73	13	28.26	00	00	00	00
8	46	25	54.34	04	8.69	17	36.95	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Class : J. Y. B. Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1. The analyses of for Academic year 2017-18 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content, 80.43 % students commented as very good, while 19.56 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

2. 71.73 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 28.91 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.

3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 86.95 %, good by 8.69 %, satisfactory by 4.34 % and 0 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard.

4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 67.39 % of students, 28.26 % as good, 4.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

5. 54.34 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good, 41.30 % as good, 4.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.

6. 86.95 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 13.04 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 71.73 % of students, 28.26 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

8. 54.34 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 28.69 % as good, 16.95 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Analyses of feedback on curriculum indicates that students appreciate the curriculum very much.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Action taken :

- Textual Reading material is demanded by the students, hence it is to be purchased.
- Overall rating by students should be improved.

KWB


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Class : S.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
2	62	30	48.38	32	51.61	00	00	00	00
3	62	37	59.67	25	40.32	00	00	00	00
4	62	37	59.67	25	40.32	00	00	00	00
5	62	32	51.61	26	41.93	02	6.46	02	6.46
6	62	32	51.61	25	40.32	05	8.06	00	00
7	62	38	61.29	18	29.03	06	9.67	00	00
8	62	39	62.90	15	24.19	08	12.90	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKULJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Class : 5.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2017-18 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content, 70.96 % students commented as very good, while 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 48.38 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 51.61 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and its relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 59.67 % , good by 40.32 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 59.67 % of students, 40.32 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 51.61 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good, 41.93 % as good, 6.46 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 51.61 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 40.32 % as good, 8.06 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 61.29 % of students, 29.03 % as good, 9.67 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 62.90 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 24.19 % as good, 12.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- This analyses shows that relevance of the syllabus to real life situations should be increased.
- The learning values should be included.
- Need of textual reading material, additional resources.

Action taken :

- Changes in the teaching-learning methodologies were conveyed to faculty.
- Library books purchase to initiate, organisation of seminars, workshops etc.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women

ANBN


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Class : F.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	37	61.66	23	38.33	00	00	00	00
2	60	32	53.33	28	46.66	00	00	00	00
3	60	40	66.66	20	33.33	00	00	00	00
4	60	31	51.66	29	48.33	00	00	00	00
5	60	28	46.66	32	53.33	00	00	00	00
6	60	39	65	21	35.00	00	00	00	00
7	60	33	55	27	45.00	00	00	00	00
8	60	40	66.66	20	33.33	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKUL

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
Class :- F.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1. The analyses of for Academic year 2017-18 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 61.66 % students commented as very good , while 38.33 as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 53.33 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 46.66 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 66.66 % , good by 33.33 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 51.66 % of students, 48.33 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 46.66 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 53.33 as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 65 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 35.00 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 55 % of students, 45.00 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 66.66 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 33.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- It is clear that students opted for the option very good/good, in most of the cases.
- Critical evaluation is done on every aspect.

PRINCIPAL

Action taken :

- The course syllabi must be taught to full extent.
- Learning values should be imbibed in teaching.
- Textual reading material be developed by teachers.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akul Tal. Matshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	43	93.47	03	6.52	00	00	00	00
2	46	42	91.30	02	4.34	02	4.34	00	00
3	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	01	2.17	00	00
4	46	42	91.30	02	4.34	02	4.34	00	00
5	46	42	91.30	02	4.34	02	4.34	00	00
6	46	41	89.13	02	4.34	03	6.52	00	00
7	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
8	46	41	89.13	02	4.34	03	6.52	00	00
9	46	42	91.30	04	8.69	00	00	00	00
10	46	43	93.47	03	6.52	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Class : T.Y. B.Sc

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Bhosale S. Bharati

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 93.47% students commented as very good, while 6.52% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 91.30% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 4.34% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 91.30%, as good by 6.52%, as satisfactory by 2.17% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 91.30% of students, 4.34% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 91.30% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 4.34% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 89.13% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 4.34% as good, 6.52% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 86.95% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 13.04% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 89.13% of students, 4.34% as good, 6.52% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 91.30% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 8.69% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 93.47% of students gave overall rating as very good, 6.52% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's Subject Knowledge

Action taken :

Encourage students to participate in the class.

KNB

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	36	78.26	04	8.68	06	13.04	00	00
2	46	34	73.91	04	8.68	08	17.38	00	00
3	46	33	71.73	05	10.86	08	17.34	00	00
4	46	32	69.56	06	13.04	08	17.38	00	00
5	46	33	73.91	06	13.04	07	15.21	00	00
6	46	32	69.56	06	13.04	08	17.34	00	00
7	46	34	73.91	07	15.21	05	10.86	00	00
8	46	35	76.08	03	6.52	08	17.38	00	00
9	46	34	73.91	05	10.86	07	15.21	00	00
10	46	34	73.91	03	6.52	09	19.55	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Aniket B. class: - T.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 78.26% students commented as very good, while 8.68 as good, 13.04 as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 73.91 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 8.68 % as good 17.38 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 71.73%, as good by 10.86 % as satisfactory by 17.34 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 69.56 % of students, 13.04 % as good, 17.38 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 73.91 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 13.04 % as good, 15.21 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 69.56 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 13.04 % as good, 17.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 73.91 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 15.21 % as good, 10.86 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 76.08 % of students, 6.52 % as good, 17.38 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 73.91 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 10.86 % as good, 15.21 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 73.91 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 6.52 % as good, 19.55 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

Give books to students related to the subject.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	34	73.91	09	19.56	02	4.34	01	2.17
2	46	27	58.69	12	26.08	04	8.69	03	6.52
3	46	36	78.26	08	17.39	02	4.34	00	00
4	46	34	73.91	08	17.39	03	6.52	01	2.17
5	46	31	67.39	10	21.73	05	10.86	00	00
6	46	32	69.56	11	23.91	02	4.34	01	2.17
7	46	34	73.91	09	19.56	03	6.52	00	00
8	46	29	63.04	13	28.26	02	4.34	02	4.34
9	46	35	76.08	07	17.39	03	6.52	01	2.17
10	46	36	78.26	06	13.04	04	8.69	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 17-18

Name of the Teacher: Smt. Suneel Rahulvi

Class T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 73.91% students commented as very good, while 19.56% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.
2. 53.69% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 26.08% as good, 8.69% as satisfactory and 6.52% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 78.26%, as good by 17.39%, as satisfactory by 4.34% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 73.91% of students, 17.39% as good, 6.52% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.
5. 67.39% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 21.73% as good, 10.86% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 69.56% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 23.91% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.
7. 73.91% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 19.56% as good, 6.52% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 63.04% of students, 18.26% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
9. 76.08% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 17.39% as good, 6.52% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.
10. 78.26% of students gave overall rating as very good, 13.04% as good, 8.69% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

Encourage students to read subject book.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	37	80.43	08	17.39	01	2.17	00	00
2	46	28	60.86	15	32.60	03	6.52	00	00
3	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
4	46	30	65.21	12	26.08	04	8.69	00	00
5	46	35	76.0	11	23.91	00	00	00	00
6	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
7	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
8	46	35	76.0	11	23.91	00	00	00	00
9	46	37	80.43	09	19.56	00	00	00	00
10	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
class - T-Y. B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Manohar Jaysheelga

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for
Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 80.43 % students commented as very good, while 17.39 % as good, 2.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 60.86 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 32.60 % as good, 6.52 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 82.60 %, as good by 17.39 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 65.21 % of students, 26.08 % as good, 8.69 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 76.0 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 23.91 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 82.60 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 17.39 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 82.60 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 17.39 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 76.0 % of students, 23.91 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 80.43 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 19.56 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 82.60 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 17.39 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers subject knowledge

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students.

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	40	86.95	03	6.51	01	2.17	02	4.34
2	46	36	78.26	06	13.02	02	4.34	02	4.34
3	46	36	78.26	06	13.02	02	4.34	02	4.34
4	46	38	82.60	04	8.68	02	4.34	02	4.34
5	46	36	78.26	06	13.02	02	4.34	02	4.34
6	46	38	82.60	04	8.68	02	4.34	02	4.34
7	46	37	80.83	06	13.02	01	2.17	02	4.34
8	46	37	80.83	06	13.02	01	2.17	02	4.34
9	46	37	80.83	06	13.02	01	2.17	02	4.34
10	46	38	82.60	06	13.02	01	2.17	01	2.17



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Class - T.Y.B.Sc

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher... 86.95% students commented as very good, while 6.51% as good, 2.17% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
2. 78.26% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 13.02% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 78.26%, as good by 13.02%, as satisfactory by 4.34% and 4.34% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 82.60% of students, 8.68% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
5. 78.26% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 13.02% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
6. 82.60% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 8.68% as good, 4.34% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
7. 80.83% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 13.02% as good, 2.17% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 80.83% of students, 13.02% as good, 2.17% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
9. 80.83% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 13.02% as good, 2.17% as satisfactory and 4.34% as unsatisfactory.
10. 82.60% of students gave overall rating as very good, 13.02% as good, 2.17% as satisfactory and 2.17% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers subject knowledge.

Action taken :

More student's centric approach should be followed.

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	44	70.96	08	12.90	09	14.49	01	1.61
2	62	39	62.90	11	17.74	10	16.12	02	3.22
3	62	48	77.41	04	6.45	10	16.12	00	00
4	62	43	69.35	06	9.67	13	20.96	00	00
5	62	44	70.96	07	11.29	10	16.12	01	1.61
6	62	44	70.96	07	11.29	10	16.12	01	1.61
7	62	44	70.96	07	11.29	10	16.12	01	1.61
8	62	47	75.80	10	16.62	04	6.44	01	1.61
9	62	36	58.06	09	14.51	16	25.76	01	1.61
10	62	38	61.29	04	6.45	20	32.25	00	00





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

class : - S.Y.B.Sc

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Sumeet Rahul N.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 70.96 % students commented as very good, while 12.90 % as good, 15.49 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 62.90 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 17.74 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 8.22 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 77.41 %, as good by 6.45 %, as satisfactory by 16.12 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 69.35 % of students, 9.67 % as good, 20.96 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 70.96 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 11.29 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 70.96 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 11.29 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 70.96 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 11.29 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 75.80 % of students, 16.62 % as good, 6.44 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 58.06 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 14.51 % as good, 27.76 % satisfactory and 1.61 % unsatisfactory.
10. 61.29 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 6.45 % as good, 32.25 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students.

KNB/M

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	47	75.80	15	24.19	00	00	00	00
2	62	43	69.35	15	24.19	04	6.45	00	00
3	62	42	67.74	20	32.25	00	00	00	00
4	62	40	64.51	22	35.47	00	00	00	00
5	62	35	56.45	27	43.54	00	00	00	00
6	62	39	62.90	22	35.47	01	1.61	00	00
7	62	42	67.74	20	32.25	00	00	00	00
8	62	45	72.58	14	22.58	03	4.83	00	00
9	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
10	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Name of the Teacher :

Dr. Bhosale S. Bhosale

Class : - S. Y. B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 75.80 % students commented as very good, while 24.19 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 69.35 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 24.19 % as good, 6.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 67.74 %, as good by 32.25 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 64.57 % of students, 35.47 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 56.45 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 43.54 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.90 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 35.47 % as good, 1.61 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 67.74 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 32.25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 72.58 % of students, 22.58 % as good, 4.83 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 70.96 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 70.96 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysis shows that students appreciate the teacher's subject knowledge.

Action taken :

More students Centric approach should be followed.

KUMAM

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	43	69.35	19	30.64	00	00	00	00
2	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
3	62	40	64.51	18	29.03	00	04	6.45	00
4	62	38	61.29	24	38.70	00	00	00	00
5	62	37	59.67	25	40.32	00	00	00	00
6	62	38	61.29	24	38.70	00	00	00	00
7	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
8	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
9	62	44	70.96	18	29.03	00	00	00	00
10	62	46	74.19	16	25.76	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jayshree B. Class:- S.Y.B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 69.35 % students commented as very good, while 30.64 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 70.96 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 29.03 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 64.57 %, as good by 29.03 %, as satisfactory by 6.45 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 61.29 % of students, 38.70 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 59.67 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 40.32 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 61.29 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 38.70 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 70.96 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 70.96 % of students, 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 70.96 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 29.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 74.19 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 25.76 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

Encourage students to read books on the subjects.

KMJB

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	45	72.58	17	27.41	00	00	00	00
2	62	40	64.51	22	35.47	00	00	00	00
3	62	37	59.67	18	38.72	07	11.27	00	00
4	62	38	61.29	24	33.88	00	00	00	00
5	62	34	54.83	26	41.93	02	3.22	00	00
6	62	39	62.90	16	25.80	07	11.27	00	00
7	62	41	66.12	12	19.35	09	14.49	00	00
8	62	38	61.29	24	33.88	00	00	00	00
9	62	42	67.74	13	20.96	07	11.27	00	00
10	62	40	64.51	12	19.35	10	16.12	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
5.4.18

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhise Chhaya S.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.58% students commented as very good, while 27.41% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 64.51% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 35.47% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 59.67%, as good by 38.72%, as satisfactory by 11.27% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 61.29% of students, 33.88% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 54.83% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 41.93% as good, 3.22% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.90% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 25.80% as good, 11.27% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 66.12% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 19.35% as good, 14.49% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 61.29% of students, 33.88% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 67.74% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20.96% as good, 11.27% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 64.51% of students gave overall rating as very good, 19.35% as good, 16.12% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Done feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge

Action taken :

Create students interest in the syllabus.

KWJBM


PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	62	48	77.41	05	8.06	07	11.27	02	3.22
2	62	33	53.22	20	32.25	02	3.22	07	11.27
3	62	45	72.58	07	11.27	10	16.12	00	00
4	62	46	74.19	05	8.05	10	16.12	01	1.61
5	62	43	69.35	09	14.54	10	16.12	00	00
6	62	41	66.12	12	19.35	09	14.51	00	00
7	62	45	72.58	8	12.90	9	14.51	00	00
8	62	41	66.12	12	19.35	09	14.51	00	00
9	62	45	72.58	07	11.29	10	16.12	00	00
10	62	45	72.58	05	8.05	12	19.35	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
Class - S.Y. B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajshree R.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2017-18 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 77.41 % students commented as very good, while 8.06 % as good, 11.27 % as satisfactory and 3.22 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 53.22 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 32.28 % as good, 3.22 % as satisfactory and 11.27 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 72.58 %, as good by 11.27 %, as satisfactory by 16.12 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 74.19 % of students, 8.05 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 1.61 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 69.35 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 12.59 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 66.12 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 19.35 % as good, 14.51 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 72.58 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 12.90 % as good, 14.51 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 66.12 % of students, 19.35 % as good, 14.51 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 72.58 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 11.29 % as good, 16.12 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 72.58 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 8.05 % as good, 19.35 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's subjects knowledge.

Action taken :

Encourage students to ask questions.

Principal
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	34	73.91	03	6.52	09	19.56	00	00
2	46	34	73.91	01	2.17	11	23.91	00	00
3	46	26	56.52	07	15.21	03	6.52	10	21.75
4	46	22	47.82	09	19.56	12	26.08	03	6.52
5	46	25	54.34	06	13.04	13	28.26	02	4.34
6	46	22	47.82	11	23.91	12	26.08	01	2.17
7	46	22	47.82	12	26.08	12	26.08	00	00
8	46	24	52.17	04	8.69	18	39.13	00	00
9	46	29	63.04	07	15.21	8	17.39	02	4.34
10	46	21	45.65	10	21.73	14	30.43	01	2.17
11	46	30	65.21	05	13.04	10	21.73	01	2.17
12	46	31	67.39	02	4.34	11	23.91	02	4.34
13	46	39	84.78	02	4.34	03	6.52	02	4.34
14	46	33	71.73	00	0	12	26.08	01	2.17
15	46	33	71.73	11	23.91	02	4.34	00	00
16	46	32	69.56	12	26.08	00	00	02	4.34
17	46	33	71.73	13	28.25	0	0	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
Class - T-4-B-8C

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Subene Rahul N.

1. Regarding syllabus, 73.91 % of students commented as adequate, 6.52 % as inadequate, 19.50 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 73.91 % of students, inadequate by 2.17 %, more than adequate by 23.91 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 56.52 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 15.21 % as manageable, 6.52 % as difficult and 21.75 % as very difficult.
4. 47.82 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 19.56 % that 70 to 85 %, 26.08 % that 55 to 70% and only 6.52 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 54.34 % of students opted for adequate, 13.03 % for inadequate, 28.26 % for more than adequate and 4.34 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 47.82 % of students opted for easily, 23.91 % for with some difficulty, 26.08 % for not available not all and 2.17 % for with great difficulty.
7. 47.82 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 26.08 % as satisfactorily, 26.08 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 52.17 %, as sometimes effective by 8.69 %, just satisfactorily by 39.13 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 63.04 % students mentioned mostly yes, 15.21 % as sometime, 17.39 % as not at all and 4.34 % as always.

10. 45.65 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 21.73 % as get involved in discussion in class, 30.43 % as encouraged discussion and 2.17 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 65.21 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 13.04 %, as not at all helpful by 21.73 % and didn't advise by 2.17 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 67.39 % of students, as sometimes rude by 4.34 % as always indifferent by 23.91 % and 4.34 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 84.78 % of students, sometimes unfair by 4.34 %, usually unfair by 6.52 % and sometimes fair by 4.34 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 71.73 %, discouraging by 0 % , no special effect by 26.08 % and sometimes effective by 2.17 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 71.73 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 2.91 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 4.34 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 69.56 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 26.08 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0.2 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 4.34 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 71.73 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 28.25 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

all the essential quatities have been judge and on affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

encourage students to ask questions.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	000	00
2	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
3	46	43	93.47	03	6.52	00	00	00	00
4	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00
5	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00
6	46	42	91.30	04	8.69	00	00	00	00
7	46	43	93.47	03	6.52	00	00	00	00
8	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00
9	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
10	46	39	84.78	07	15.21	00	00	00	00
11	46	42	91.30	04	8.69	00	00	00	00
12	46	42	91.30	04	8.69	00	00	00	00
13	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
14	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
15	46	42	91.30	04	8.69	00	00	00	00
16	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
17	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
class - T.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhosale Bhargati's

1. Regarding syllabus, 82.60 % of students commented as adequate, 17.39 % as inadequate, 0 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 82.60 % of students, inadequate by 17.39 %, more than adequate by 0 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 93.47 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 6.52 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 89.13 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 10.86 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 89.13 % of students opted for adequate, 10.86 % for inadequate, 0 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 91.30 % of students opted for easily, 8.69 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.
7. 93.47 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 6.52 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 89.13 %, as sometimes effective by 10.86 %, just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 86.95 % students mentioned mostly yes, 13.04 % as sometime, 0 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. ~~84.78~~ % students elected encouraged to raise questions, ~~15.21~~ % as get involved in discussion in class, ~~0~~ % as encouraged discussion and ~~0~~ % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by ~~91.30~~ % of students, as sometimes helpful by ~~8.69~~ %, as not at all helpful by ~~0~~ % and didn't advise by ~~0~~ %.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by ~~91.30~~ % of students, as sometimes rude by ~~8.69~~ % as always indifferent by ~~0~~ % and ~~0~~ % of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by ~~86.95~~ % of students, sometimes unfair by ~~13.04~~ %, usually unfair by ~~0~~ % and sometimes fair by ~~0~~ % of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by ~~86.95~~ %, discouraging by ~~13.04~~ %, no special effect by ~~0~~ % and sometimes effective by ~~0~~ % of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by ~~91.30~~ %, regular feedback with helpful comments by ~~8.69~~ %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by ~~0~~ % and feedback without comments was the choice of ~~0~~ % of students.
16. ~~82.60~~ % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, ~~17.39~~ % students noted that they were partly discussed, ~~0~~ % students that they were not discussed at all and only ~~0~~ % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. ~~89.13~~ % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and ~~10.86~~ % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and on affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

Encourage students to participate in the class.

KUNBM


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprakashadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akuraj Tal. Maishiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
2	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
3	46	34	73.91	12	26.08	00	00	00	00
4	46	33	71.73	13	28.26	00	00	00	00
5	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
6	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
7	46	36	78.26	10	21.73	00	00	00	00
8	46	34	73.91	12	26.08	00	00	00	00
9	46	33	71.73	13	28.26	00	00	00	00
10	46	31	67.39	15	32.60	00	00	00	00
11	46	37	80.43	09	19.56	00	00	00	00
12	46	36	78.26	10	21.73	00	00	00	00
13	46	34	73.91	12	26.08	00	00	00	00
14	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00
15	46	39	84.78	07	15.21	00	00	00	00
16	46	38	82.60	08	17.39	00	00	00	00
17	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017 - 18

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B. class - T-4.B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 86.95 % of students commented as adequate, 13.04 % as inadequate, 0 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 82.60 % of students, inadequate by 17.39 %, more than adequate by 0 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 73.91 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 26.08 % as manageable, 0 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 71.73 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 28.26 % that 70 to 85 %, 0 % that 55 to 70% and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course 82.60 % of students opted for adequate, 17.39 % for inadequate, 0 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 82.60 % of students opted for easily, 17.39 % for with some difficulty, 0 % for not available not all and 0 % for with great difficulty.
7. 78.26 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 21.73 % as satisfactorily, 0 % as poorly and 0 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 73.91 %, as sometimes effective by 26.08 %, just satisfactorily by 0 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 71.73 % students mentioned mostly yes, 28.26 % as sometime, 0 % as not at all and 0 % as always.

10. 67.39 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 82.60 % as get involved in discussion in class, 0 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 80.43 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 19.56 %, as not at all helpful by 0 % and didn't advise by 0 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 78.26 % of students, as sometimes rude by 21.73 % as always indifferent by 0 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 73.91 % of students, sometimes unfair by 26.08 %, usually unfair by 0 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 86.90 %, discouraging by 13.10 %, no special effect by 0 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 84.78 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 15.21 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 82.60 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 17.39 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 0 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 89.13 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 10.86 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

Teacher's teaching and curricular aspects are bestvate by students.

Action taken :

Encourage students to take the test.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnapragnadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Maharashtra Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	43	93.47	01	2.17	02	4.34	00	00
2	46	31	67.39	04	8.69	11	23.91	00	00
3	46	27	58.69	09	19.56	10	21.73	00	00
4	46	35	76.08	05	10.86	05	10.86	01	2.17
5	46	35	76.08	05	10.86	04	8.69	02	4.34
6	46	35	76.08	05	10.86	05	10.86	01	2.17
7	46	37	80.43	05	10.86	04	8.69	00	00
8	46	35	76.08	05	10.86	04	8.69	02	4.34
9	46	30	65.21	13	28.26	02	4.34	01	2.17
10	46	30	65.21	09	19.56	06	13.04	01	2.17
11	46	35	76.08	03	6.52	08	17.39	00	0
12	46	35	76.08	04	8.69	06	13.04	01	2.17
13	46	35	76.08	05	10.86	06	13.04	00	00
14	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	0	00	00
15	46	39	84.78	02	4.34	05	10.86	00	00
16	46	39	84.78	07	15.21	00	00	00	00
17	46	40	86.95	06	13.04	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
T.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhise Chhaya S. class - T.Y.B.Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 93.47 % of students commented as adequate, 2.17 % as inadequate, 4.34 % as challenging and 0 % as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 67.39 % of students, inadequate by 8.69 %, more than adequate by 23.91 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 58.69 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 19.56 % as manageable, 21.73 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.

4. 76.08 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 10.86 % that 70 to 85 %, 10.86 % that 55 to 70 % and only 2.17 % students are of the opinion that less than 55 % syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 76.08 % of students opted for adequate, 10.86 % for inadequate, 8.69 % for more than adequate and 4.34 % for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 76.08 % of students opted for easily, 10.86 % for with some difficulty, 10.86 % for not available not all and 2.17 % for with great difficulty.

7. 80.43 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 10.86 % as satisfactorily, 10.86 % as poorly and 8.69 % as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 76.08 %, as sometimes effective by 10.86 %, just satisfactorily by 8.69 % and 0 % of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 65.21 % students mentioned mostly yes, 28.26 % as sometime, 4.34 % as not at all and 2.17 % as always.

10. 65.21% students elected encouraged to raise questions, 19.56% as get involved in discussion in class, 13.04% as encouraged discussion and 2.17% as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 76.08% of students, as sometimes helpful by 6.52% , as not at all helpful by 17.39% and didn't advise by 0% .

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 76.08% of students, as sometimes rude by 8.69% as always indifferent by 13.04% and 2.17% of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 76.08% of students, sometimes unfair by 10.86% , usually unfair by 13.04% and sometimes fair by 0% of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 86.95% , discouraging by 13.04% , no special effect by 0% and sometimes effective by 0% of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 84.78% , regular feedback with helpful comments by 4.34% , late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 10.86% and feedback without comments was the choice of 0% of students.

16. 84.78% students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 15.21% students noted that they were partly discussed, 0% students that they were not discussed at all and only 0% of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 86.95% of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 13.04% mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged and an affirmative remark given.

Action taken :

focus on students participation in teaching learning.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Name of Teacher : Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	01	2.17	00	00
2	46	40	86.95	04	8.69	02	4.34	00	00
3	46	39	84.78	04	8.69	03	6.53	00	00
4	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	01	2.17	00	00
5	46	39	84.78	03	6.52	04	8.69	00	00
6	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	01	2.17	00	00
7	46	40	86.95	02	4.34	02	4.34	02	4.34
8	46	41	89.13	05	10.86	00	00	00	00
9	46	41	89.13	04	8.69	00	00	01	2.17
10	46	36	78.26	07	15.21	02	4.34	01	2.17
11	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	00	00	01	2.17
12	46	41	89.13	02	4.34	03	6.52	00	00
13	46	40	86.95	02	4.34	04	8.69	00	00
14	46	42	91.30	03	6.52	01	2.17	00	00
15	46	43	93.47	01	2.17	02	4.34	00	00
16	46	39	84.78	04	8.69	03	6.52	00	00
17	46	43	93.47	03	6.51	0	0	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18
class T.Y. 13-cc.

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

1. Regarding syllabus, 91.39% of students commented as adequate, 6.52% as inadequate, 2.17% as challenging and 0% as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 86.95% of students, inadequate by 8.69%, more than adequate by 4.34% and 0% of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 84.78% of students mentioned that the course was easy, 8.69% as manageable, 6.53% as difficult and 0% as very difficult.

4. 91.30% of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 6.52% that 70 to 85 %, 2.17% that 55 to 70% and only 0% students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 84.78% of students opted for adequate, 6.52% for inadequate, 8.69% for more than adequate and 0% for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 91.30% of students opted for easily, 6.52% for with some difficulty, 2.17% for not available not all and 0% for with great difficulty.

7. 86.95% of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 4.34% as satisfactorily, 4.34% as poorly and 4.34% as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 89.13%, as sometimes effective by 10.86%, just satisfactorily by 0% and 0% of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 89.13% students mentioned mostly yes, 8.69% as sometime, 0% as not at all and 2.17% as always.

10. 78.26 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 15.21 % as get involved in discussion in class, 4.34 % as encouraged discussion and 2.17 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 91.30 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 6.52 %, as not at all helpful by 2.17 % and didn't advise by 0.17 %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 89.13 % of students, as sometimes rude by 4.34 % as always indifferent by 6.52 % and 0 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 86.95 % of students, sometimes unfair by 4.34 %, usually unfair by 8.69 % and sometimes fair by 0 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 91.30 %, discouraging by 6.52 %, no special effect by 2.17 % and sometimes effective by 0 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 93.47 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 2.17 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 4.34 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 84.78 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 8.59 % students noted that they were partly discussed, 6.52 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 93.47 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 6.52 % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

Teacher's teaching and curricular aspects are best rated by students.

Action taken :

Tell students more about the subject.


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback From Teachers

Academic Year : 2017-2018

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
2	7	5	71.42	1	14.28	1	14.28	0	0
3	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
4	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
5	7	6	85.71	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
6	7	5	71.42	1	14.28	1	14.28	0	0
7	7	3	42.85	2	28.57	2	28.57	0	0
8	7	4	57.14	3	42.85	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

FEEDBACK FROM TEACHERS

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2017-18

Feedback was collected from Teachers on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2017-18 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 71.42% teachers commented as very good , while 28.57% as good , 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 71.42% of teachers commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 14.28% as good , 14.28% as satisfactory & 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 71.42% , good by 28.57% , satisfactory by 0% and 0% of teachers are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 71.42% of teachers, 28.57% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 85.71% of teachers opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 28.57% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% unsatisfactory.
6. 71.42% of teachers commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 14.28% as good, 14.28% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by teachers are appreciated as very good by 42.85% of teachers, 28.57% as good, 28.57% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. 57.14% of teachers gave overall rating as very good, 42.85% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Feedback from teachers on curricular aspects shows that most of teachers rate it very good at significant level. The efforts required by teachers have been graded as good and satisfactory levels.

Action taken :

Need to include learning values in curriculum & increase the textual reading materials.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 12/04/17 at 4:30 pm in the NAAC Room. following issues were discussed in the meeting.

1. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 12/04/2017

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed and finalized by all the members of the committee.

2. Subject 02: To study, analyze and infer the feedback obtained for last academic year

Discussion: The feedback for the last academic year was collected and analyzed by the committee members. The graphical & statistical analysis was done and presented before the committee. Feedback from students, parents and teachers were collected and analyzed systematically. The affirmative as well as low graded feedbacks were discussed in detail.


3. Subject 03: To discuss the action plan to be undertaken as per the analysis of the feedback report


Discussion: On the basis of the feedback analysis and conclusions made after the analysis for each criterion of the feedback, mode of action was discussed and mentioned specifically in the feedback analysis reports. All the plan of actions was put before the principal for evaluation and necessary execution.

- Subject 04: To discuss any changes/improvements in the feedback collection methods for the current academic year.

Discussion: The feedback collection and analysis system was discussed by the committee. The present mode was found to be easy and useful by all the members and hence decided to go

with the same system hereafter also.
Subject OS: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting
Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting


Dr. Manohar Jaysheela


PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnabai Chavan Mahila Hall
College of Home Science for Women
Akulji Tal. Maharashtra Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 10/6/2018 at 4.45 pm in the NMAC room following issues were discussed in the meeting

01. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 19.6.2017
Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed and finalized by all the members of committee.
02. Subject 02: To discuss the method for analysis of feedback collected for the current academic year
Discussion: It was decided to prepare the offline feedback forms.
03. Subject 03: To discuss the issue of action to be taken on all feedback collected.
Discussion: It was decided to specifically take notes on feedback analyses and convey the improvements to be made. Members suggested to put the conclusion and mode of action to the IQAC
04. Subject 04: To discuss the use of Online feedback mechanisms for next year
Discussion: It was decided from next Academic year feedback would be taken through online mode. All the questionnaires should be converted into google form
05. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting
Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting

K. B. H.
Dr. Jayshekaram Mohar.

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite, Paf
College of Home Science for Women
Akul, Jalgaon District, Gujarat



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai

NAAC A-ACCREDITED INSTITUTION



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil

President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil

B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Principal

Ref. No.

Date -

- Feedback Analysis 2018-2019**

Academic Year 2018- 2019

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc. T.Y.
Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year : 2018-19

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Depth of the course content including project work if any अभ्यासक्रमाची व्याप्ती : प्रकल्पासहीत	✓			
02	Extent of coverage of course अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाका	✓			
03	Applicability /relevance to real life situations प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील द्याचे उपयोजन	✓			
04	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) शैक्षणिक मूल्ये : ज्ञान संकल्पना कौशल्ये ताकदीक क्षमता विस्तारात्मक दृष्टीकोन .	✓			
05	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material वाचनसाहित्याची सुस्पष्टता व संदर्भ नीयता	✓			
06	Relevance of additional source material (Library) अतिरिक्त संदर्भसाहित्य : ग्रंथालय	✓			
07	Extent of efforts required by students विद्यार्थीनींकडून आवश्यक प्रयत्नांची कक्षा	✓			
08	Overall rating एकूण मूल्यांकन	✓			

F.Y.B.Sc

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.2

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.
Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year : 2018-19

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	E
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

NAME OF THE TEACHER : राजेशी निंबसोरकर

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) शिक्षकांचे मूलभूत ज्ञान : तुमच्या मतानुसार		✓		
02	Communication skills (in terms of articulation & comprehensibility) संवादकौशल्ये : स्पष्टता व समजण्यायोग्य		✓		
03	Sincerity/ Commitment of the teacher शिक्षकाची निष्ठा किंवा सचोटी		✓		
04	Interest generated by the teacher शिक्षकाद्वारे आवडनिर्मिती	✓			
05	Ability to integrate course material with environment/ other issues, to provide a broader perspective अभ्यासक्रमाची पर्यावरणाशी एकात्मिकरण करण्याची क्षमता	✓			
06	Ability to integrate content with other course अभ्यासक्रमाची इतर विषयाशी सांगड घालण्याची क्षमता	✓			
07	Accessibility of the teacher in & out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) शिक्षकाची वर्गात व वर्गाबाहेर असणारी उपलब्धता : अभ्यास व वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेसाठी उपलब्धता व प्रेरणा	✓			
08	Ability to design quizzes/ tests/ assignments/examinations & projects to evaluate students' understanding of the course विद्यार्थीनींच्या मूल्यमापनासाठी प्रश्नमंजूषा चाचण्या परीपाठ परीक्षा व प्रकल्प रचना तयार करण्याची क्षमता	✓			
	Provision of sufficient time for feedback प्रतिस्पर्धासाठी पुरेसा अवधी	✓			
	Overall rating एकूण गुणवत्ता	✓			

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.3

STUDENTS' OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME & TEACHING
(To be filled only after the course results are declared)

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVÍ MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN,
AKLUJ.

Department: Home Science

Course : B.Sc.

Teacher : मोहिनी मोहित

Year : 2018-19

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other,
तुम्ही एकपेक्षा जास्त पर्याय निवडू शकता फक्त त्यांत विरोधाभास होऊ देऊ नका.

- The syllabus of each course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) challenging d) dull
१. प्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. आव्हानात्मक ड. निरव
- Background for benefiting from the course was
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) cannot say
२. अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभ होईल.
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा अधिक ड. सांगता येत नाही.
- Was the course easy or difficult to understand?
a) easy b) manageable c) difficult d) very difficult
३. विषय समजण्यास सोपा किंवा अवघड होता काय....
अ. सोपा ब. प्रयत्नपूर्वक समजण्याजोगा क. अवघड ड. अतिशय अवघड
- How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?....
a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85% c) 55 to 70% d) less than 55%
४. अभ्यासक्रमातील किती टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकविण्यात आला....
अ. ८५ ते १००% ब. ७० ते ८५% क. ५५ ते ७०% ड. ५५% पेक्षा कमी
- What is your opinion about the library material & facilities for the course?.....
a) Adequate b) inadequate c) more than adequate d) very poor
५. अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत.....
अ. पुरेसा ब. अपुरा क. गरजेपेक्षा जास्त ड. अतिशय कमी
- To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?....
a) easily b) with some difficulty c) not available at all d) with great difficulty
६. निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध होते....
अ. सहजपणे ब. थोड्या त्रासाने क. मुलीच उपलब्ध नव्हते ड. खूप प्रयत्नांनंतर
- How well did the teacher prepares for the classes?...
a) thoroughly b) satisfactorily c) poorly d) indifferently
७. शिक्षक हे वर्गांमध्ये किती पूर्वतयारीने येतात....
अ. खोलवर ब. समाधानकारक क. खूप कमी ड. समप्रमाणात
- How well was the teacher able to communicate?.....
a) always effective b) sometimes effective c) just satisfactorily d) generally ineffective
८. शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्याकरीता किती सक्षम होते....
अ. नेहमी परीणामकारक ब. कधीतरी परीणामकारक क. समाधानकारक ड. नेहमीच अपरीणामकारक

9. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?...
 a) mostly yes b) sometimes c) not at all d) always
९. शिक्षक वर्गाभावे विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात...?
 अ. खूपवेळा ब. कधी कधी क. कधीच नाही ड. नेहमी
10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?...
 a) encouraged to raise questions b) get involved in discussion in class
 c) encouraged discussion d) did not encouraged outside class
१०. होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात...?
 अ. प्रश्न विचारण्यास उत्तेजना देतात ब. वर्गाभावे चर्चेत सहभागी होवतात
 क. वर्गाबाहेरील चर्चेत सहभागी होवतात ड. उत्तेजना देत नाहीत
11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?...
 a) very helpful b) sometimes helpful c) not at all helpful d) did not advise
११. अभ्यासकामातील किती टक्के भाग वर्गात शिक्कविण्यात आला...?
 अ. ८५ ते १००% ब. ७० ते ८५% क. ५५ ते ७०% ड. ५५% पेक्षा कमी
12. The teacher's approach can best be described as...
 a) always courteous b) sometimes rude c) always indifferent d) can't say
१२. शिक्षकांचा दृष्टीकोन हा खर्चात चांगला असा वर्णन करूया येईल...?
 अ. नेहमी आदरणीय ब. कधी कधी रुद्ध क. नेहमी समान ड. सांगता येत नाही
13. Internal assessment was...
 a) always fair b) sometimes unfair c) usually unfair d) sometimes fair
१३. अंतर्गत मूल्यांकन असे असते...
 अ. नेहमीच पाटदर्शक ब. कधी कधी अपाटदर्शक क. नेहमीच अपाटदर्शक ड. कधी कधी पाटदर्शक
14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?...
 a) helps to improve b) discouraging c) no special effect d) sometimes effective
१४. तुमच्या मतांनुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासकामाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला...?
 अ. सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त ब. निरुत्साही क. काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही ड. कधी कधी परिणामकारक
15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?...
 a) regularly/in time b) with helpful comment
 c) often/ late d) without any comments
१५. विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात...?
 अ. नियमितपणे ब. कधीतरी क. उपयुक्त सूचनांसह ड. कोणतेही सूचना न देता
16. Were your assignments discussed with you?...
 a) yes, fully b) yes, partly c) not discussed at all d) sometimes discussed
१६. तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा परीपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का...
 अ. होय पूर्णपणे ब. त्रैय काही प्रमाणात क. चर्चा केली जात नाही ड. कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते
17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?...
 a) yes b) no
 if yes, was it helpful?
 a) yes b) no
१७. वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाची संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते का.....
 अ. होय ब. नाही
 होय असल्यास ते उपयोगी असते का...
 अ. होय ब. नाही
18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher you may do so in the space given below or on the separate sheet.
१८. जर अभ्यासकामाची संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असल्यास दिलेल्या जागेत किंवा वेगळ्या कागदावर लिहा.

Academic year 2018-19

भीमती रत्नप्रभादेवी मोहिते-पाटील गृहविज्ञान महिला महाविद्यालय, अकलुज

महाविद्यालयीन परिसर, भौतिक सुविधा आणि अभ्यासक्रम याबाबत पालकांची मते

पालकांचे नाव:- शेजरे खरात

पत्ता:- D/o 362 नगर, अकलुज ता. साबरीस
यशवंतनगर, सोलापूर

फोन / मोबाईल नं :-

	अतिउत्तम	उत्तम	समाधानकारक	असमाधानकारक
१) आपल्या मते महाविद्यालयाच्या परिसराची शैक्षणिक अनुकूलता				
२) महाविद्यालयाची इमारत			✓	
३) महाविद्यालयाच्या इमारतीचे गावाच्या दृष्टीने भौगोलीक स्थान		✓		
४) वर्ग खोल्या व विद्यार्थी संख्या यांचे प्रमाण			✓	
५) ग्रंथालय व ग्रंथालयीन सुविधा		✓		
६) पिण्याच्या पाण्याची सोय व पुरवठा			✓	
७) प्रसाधन गृहाची संख्या व स्वच्छता		✓		
८) महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविला जाणारा अभ्यासक्रम	✓			
९) महाविद्यालयामध्ये राबविले जाणारे अभ्यासोत्तर उपक्रम	✓			
१०) महाविद्यालयातील फर्निचर व प्रयोगशाळा			✓	
११) महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वृंद		✓		

काही सुचना असल्यास


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	45	75	11	18.33	04	6.66	00	00
2	60	45	75	10	16.66	05	8.33	00	00
3	60	47	78.33	07	11.66	05	8.33	01	1.66
4	60	44	73.33	12	20.00	04	6.66	00	00
5	60	44	73.33	14	23.33	02	3.33	00	00
6	60	44	73.33	15	25	01	1.66	00	00
7	60	42	70.00	17	28.33	01	1.66	00	00
8	60	47	78.33	12	20.00	01	1.66	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
class : T.Y. B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2018-19 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 75 % students commented as very good , while 18.33 as good , 6.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 75 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 16.66 % as good , 8.33 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 78.33 % , good by 11.66 % , satisfactory by 8.33 % and 1.66 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 73.33 % of students, 20.00 % as good , 6.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 73.33 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 23.33 % as good , 3.33 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 73.33 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 25 % as good , 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 70.00 % of students, 28.33 % as good , 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 78.33 % of students gave overall rating as very good , 20.00 % as good , 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Curricular aspects of the course are well appreciated
- Course content, values, efforts, applicability, relevance of textual material are all satisfactory/very good.

Action taken :

- Teaching staff is to take efforts to apply the curriculum delivery technique in all possible ways.
- Textual reading material is to be developed.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite
College of Home Science for W
Akulj Tal. Maharashtra Dist. Solapur


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Class : S.Y.B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	37	75.51	12	24.48	0	0	0	0
2	49	21	42.85	28	57.14	0	0	0	0
3	49	42	85.71	7	14.28	0	0	0	0
4	49	34	69.38	15	30.61	0	0	0	0
5	49	30	61.22	19	38.77	0	0	0	0
6	49	29	59.18	20	40.81	0	0	0	0
7	49	30	61.22	19	38.77	0	0	0	0
8	49	34	65.30	15	30.61	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
Class : S.Y. B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2018-19 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 75.57 % students commented as very good , while 24.48 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 42.85 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 57.14 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 85.71 % , good by 14.28 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 69.38 % of students, 30.61 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 61.22 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 38.77 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 59.18 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 40.81 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 61.22 % of students, 38.77 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 65.30 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 30.61 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Extent of coverage of course grading is decreased.
- Additional source material grading is also decreased.
- Efforts required from students end are lowered.

Action taken :

- Course curriculum delivery should be improved.
- Necessary changes in teaching-learning have to be applied. Students' participation should be increased.

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-
College of Home Science for Women,
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	45	75.00	15	25	0	0	0	0
2	60	47	78.33	13	21.66	0	0	0	0
3	60	49	81.66	11	18.33	0	0	0	0
4	60	47	78.33	13	21.66	0	0	0	0
5	60	46	76.66	14	23.33	0	0	0	0
6	60	45	75.00	15	25	0	0	0	0
7	60	47	78.33	13	21.66	0	0	0	0
8	60	45	75.00	15	25	0	0	0	0
9	60	45	75.00	15	25	0	0	0	0
10	60	48	80.00	12	20	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bharali Bhosale Class - T.Y.B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 75.00 % students commented as very good, while 25 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 78.33 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 21.66 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 81.66 %, as good by 18.33 % as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 78.33 % of students, 21.66 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 76.66 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 23.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 75.00 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 78.33 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 21.66 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 75.00 % of students, 25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 75.00 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 25 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 80.00 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 20 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

some students centric approach should be followed.

Principal


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2018-2019


Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	53	88.33	6	10	1	1.66	0	0
2	60	53	88.33	6	10	1	1.66	0	0
3	60	51	85.00	8	13.33	1	1.66	0	0
4	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
5	60	54	90.00	5	8.33	1	01.66	0	0
6	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
7	60	53	88.33	6	10	1	1.66	0	0
8	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
9	60	53	88.33	6	10	1	1.66	0	0
10	60	54	90.00	6	10	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
Class : T.Y. 13th sec.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suresh Rahul N.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher, 88.33 % students commented as very good, while 10 % as good, 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 88.33 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 10 % as good, 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 85.00 %, as good by 13.33 %, as satisfactory by 1.66 % and 0.00 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 85.00 % of students, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 90.00 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 8.33 % as good, 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 85.00 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 88.33 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 10 % as good, 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 85.00 % of students, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 88.33 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 10 % as good, 1.66 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 90.00 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 10 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching & efforts.

Action taken :

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

KUJBM

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	54	90.00	4	6.66	2	3.33	0	0
2	60	56	93.33	3	5.00	1	1.66	0	0
3	60	57	95.00	3	5.00	0	0	0	0
4	60	55	91.66	4	6.66	1	1.66	0	0
5	60	58	96.66	2	3.33	0	0	0	0
6	60	55	91.66	3	5.00	2	3.33	0	0
7	60	54	90.00	4	6.66	2	3.33	0	0
8	60	53	88.33	4	6.66	3	5.00	0	0
9	60	55	91.66	5	8.33	0	0	0	0
10	60	56	93.33	4	6.66	0	0	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise T-7-13-55

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher... 90.00 % students commented as very good, while 6.66 % as good, 3.33 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 98.33 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 5 % as good 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 95.0 %, as good by 05.00 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 91.66 % of students, 6.66 % as good, 1.66 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 96.66 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 3.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 91.66 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 5 % as good, 3.33 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 90.00 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 6.66 % as good, 3.33 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 88.33 % of students, 6.66 % as good, 5.00 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 91.66 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 8.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 93.33 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 6.66 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Some students centric approach should be followed.

NBM

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar J.B.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	52	86.66	8	13.33	0	0	0	0
2	60	52	86.66	8	13.33	0	0	0	0
3	60	53	88.33	7	11.66	0	0	0	0
4	60	49	81.66	11	18.33	0	0	0	0
5	60	51	85.00	9	15.00	0	0	0	0
6	60	54	90.00	6	10.00	0	0	0	0
7	60	51	85.00	9	15.00	0	0	0	0
8	60	53	88.33	7	11.66	0	0	0	0
9	60	51	85.00	9	15.00	0	0	0	0
10	60	51	85.00	9	15.00	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
Class : T.Y. B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar J.B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 86.66 % students commented as very good, while 13.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 86.66 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 13.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 88.33 %, as good by 11.66 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 81.66 % of students, 18.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 85.00 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 15.00 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 90.00 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 10.00 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 85.00 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 15.00 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 88.33 % of students, 11.66 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 85.00 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 15.00 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 85.00 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 15.00 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Tell students more about the subject.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar R.R.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
2	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
3	60	53	88.33	7	11.66	0	0	0	0
4	60	52	86.66	8	13.33	0	0	0	0
5	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
6	60	54	90.00	6	10	0	0	0	0
7	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
8	60	53	88.33	7	11.66	0	0	0	0
9	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0
10	60	51	85.00	9	15	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar R.D. Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher, 85.00 % students commented as very good, while 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 85.00 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 88.33 %, as good by 11.66 %, as satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 86.66 % of students, 13.33 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 85.00 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 90.00 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 10 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 85.00 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 88.33 % of students, 11.66 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 85.00 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 85.00 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 15 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Tell students more about the subject.

RATNA
PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Maleghiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	28	57.14	16	32.65	3	6.12	2	4.08
2	49	31	63.26	12	24.48	5	10.20	1	2.04
3	49	31	63.26	11	22.44	3	6.12	2	4.08
4	49	34	69.38	13	26.53	2	4.08	0	0
5	49	40	81.63	9	18.36	0	0	0	0
6	49	33	67.34	15	30.61	1	2.04	0	0
7	49	28	57.14	15	30.61	4	8.16	2	4.08
8	49	31	63.26	13	26.53	4	8.16	1	2.04
9	49	32	65.30	11	22.44	4	8.16	2	4.08
10	49	36	73.46	12	24.48	1	2.04	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
CLASS : S.Y. B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suneeta Patil

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 57.14% students commented as very good, while 32.65% as good, 6.12% as satisfactory and 4.08% as unsatisfactory.
2. 63.26% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 24.48% as good 10.20% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 63.26%, as good by 22.44%, as satisfactory by 6.12% and 4.08% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 69.38% of students, 26.53% as good, 4.08% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 81.63% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 18.36% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 67.34% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 30.61% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 57.14% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 30.61% as good, 8.16% as satisfactory and 4.08% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 63.26% of students, 26.53% as good, 8.16% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
9. 65.30% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 22.44% as good, 8.16% as satisfactory and 4.08% as unsatisfactory.
10. 73.46% of students gave overall rating as very good, 24.48% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Give books to students related to the subject.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019


Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	39	79.59	08	16.32	1	2.04	1	2.04
2	49	34	69.38	12	24.48	2	4.08	1	2.04
3	49	33	67.34	12	24.48	3	2.04	1	2.04
4	49	36	73.46	9	18.36	3	2.04	1	2.04
5	49	33	67.34	12	24.48	3	6.12	1	2.04
6	49	40	81.63	6	12.24	2	4.08	1	2.04
7	49	34	69.38	13	26.53	1	2.04	1	2.04
8	49	31	63.26	14	28.57	3	6.12	1	2.04
9	49	35	71.42	10	20.40	3	6.12	1	2.04
10	49	37	75.51	09	18.36	2	4.08	1	2.04



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKULJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
CLASS : 3.Y.B.Sc

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Chadge Amit B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 79.59% students commented as very good, while 16.32% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
2. 69.38% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 24.48% as good, 4.08% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 67.34%, as good by 24.48%, as satisfactory by 2.04% and 2.04% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 73.46% of students, 18.36% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
5. 67.34% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 24.48% as good, 6.12% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
6. 81.63% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 12.24% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
7. 69.38% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 26.53% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 63.26% of students, 28.57% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
9. 71.42% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20.40% as good, 6.12% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.
10. 75.51% of students gave overall rating as very good, 18.36% as good, 4.08% as satisfactory and 2.04% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analysed show that students appreciate the teachers teaching & efforts.

Action taken :

KWB

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them.

Principal

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	45	91.83	03	6.12	1	2.04	00	00
2	49	44	89.79	04	8.16	1	2.04	00	00
3	49	43	87.75	05	10.20	1	2.04	00	00
4	49	42	85.71	06	12.24	1	2.04	00	00
5	49	37	75.51	11	22.44	1	2.04	00	00
6	49	39	79.59	09	18.36	1	2.04	00	00
7	49	41	83.67	07	14.28	1	2.04	00	00
8	49	44	89.79	04	8.16	1	2.04	00	00
9	49	39	79.59	09	18.36	1	2.04	00	00
10	49	45	91.83	03	6.12	1	2.04	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
class : - 5.Y.13.sc

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhosale Shavati

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for
Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 91.83% students commented as very good, while 6.12% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 89.79% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 8.16% as good 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 87.75%, as good by 10.20%, as satisfactory by 2.04% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 85.71% of students, 12.24% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 75.51% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 22.44% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 79.59% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 18.36% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 83.67% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 14.28% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 89.79% of students, 8.16% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 79.59% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 18.36% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 91.83% of students gave overall rating as very good, 6.12% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

same students centric approach should be followed.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2


Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Class: S.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	49	36	73.46	13	26.53	00	00	00	00
2	49	35	71.42	08	16.32	06	12.24	00	00
3	49	35	71.42	11	22.44	03	6.12	00	00
4	49	37	75.51	09	18.36	03	6.12	00	00
5	49	36	73.46	11	22.44	02	4.08	00	00
6	49	36	73.46	12	24.48	1	2.04	00	00
7	49	41	83.67	08	16.32	00	00	00	00
8	49	41	83.67	07	14.28	1	2.04	00	00
9	49	39	79.59	10	20.40	00	00	00	00
10	49	41	83.67	8	16.32	00	00	00	00





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimblekar Rajashri S.Y. B.Sc

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 73.46% students commented as very good, while 26.53% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 71.42% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 16.32% as good, 12.24% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 71.42%, as good by 22.44%, as satisfactory by 6.12% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 75.57% of students, 18.36% as good, 6.12% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 73.46% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 22.44% as good, 4.08% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 73.46% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 24.48% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 83.67% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 16.32% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 83.67% of students, 14.28% as good, 2.04% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 79.59% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20.40% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 83.67% of students gave overall rating as very good, 16.32% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysis show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Give books to students related to the subject.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	62	72.94	23	38.33	00	00	00	00
2	85	60	70.58	25	41.66	00	00	00	00
3	85	61	71.76	24	28.23	00	00	00	00
4	85	62	7.94	23	27.05	00	00	00	00
5	85	52	61.17	33	38.82	00	00	00	00
6	85	49	57.64	36	42.35	00	00	00	00
7	85	70	82.35	15	17.64	00	00	00	00
8	85	67	78.82	18	21.17	00	00	00	00
9	85	59	69.41	26	30.58	00	00	00	00
10	85	73	85.88	12	14.11	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018 - 19

Name of the Teacher:

Dr. Manohar Jayshree B.

Class :

F.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.94% students commented as very good, while 28.33% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 70.58% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 41.66% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 71.76%, as good by 28.23%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 7.94% of students, 27.05% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 61.17% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 38.82% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 57.64% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 42.35% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 82.35% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 17.64% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 78.82% of students, 21.17% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 69.41% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 30.58% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 85.88% of students gave overall rating as very good, 14.11% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	82	96.47	03	3.5	0	00	00	00
2	85	78	91.76	07	8.23	00	00	00	00
3	85	71	83.52	13	15.29	01	1.17	00	00
4	85	77	90.58	07	8.23	01	1.17	00	00
5	85	78	91.76	06	7.05	01	1.17	00	00
6	85	74	87.05	10	11.76	01	1.17	00	00
7	85	75	88.23	09	10.58	01	1.17	00	00
8	85	78	91.76	06	7.05	01	1.17	00	00
9	85	75	88.23	09	7.05	01	1.17	00	00
10	85	79	92.94	05	5.88	01	1.17	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
class : F.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Phadge Amel K

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 96.47 % students commented as very good, while 3.5 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 91.76 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 8.23 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 83.52%, as good by 15.29 %, as satisfactory by 1.17 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 90.58 % of students, 8.23 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 91.76 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 7.05 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 87.05 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 11.76 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 88.23 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 10.58 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 91.76 % of students, 7.05 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 88.23 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 7.05 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 92.94 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 5.88 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teachings and efforts.

Action taken :

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them

PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Tal. Malebhiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	82	96.47	03	3.52	00	00	00	00
2	85	63	74.11	21	24.70	01	1.17	00	00
3	85	66	77.64	19	22.35	00	00	00	00
4	85	69	81.17	16	18.82	00	00	00	00
5	85	69	81.17	16	18.82	00	00	00	00
6	85	73	85.88	12	14.11	00	00	00	00
7	85	72	84.70	13	15.29	00	00	00	00
8	85	71	83.52	14	16.47	00	00	00	00
9	85	71	83.52	14	16.47	00	00	00	00
10	85	72	84.70	13	15.29	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
Class : F.T.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhosale Bharti

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 96.42% students commented as very good, while 3.52% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
2. 74.14% of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 24.70% as good, 1.17% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 77.64%, as good by 22.35%, as satisfactory by 0% and 0% of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 81.17% of students, 18.82% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
5. 81.17% of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 18.82% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
6. 85.88% of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 14.11% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
7. 84.70% of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 15.29% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 83.52% of students, 16.47% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
9. 83.52% of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 16.47% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.
10. 84.70% of students gave overall rating as very good, 15.29% as good, 0% as satisfactory and 0% as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Encourage students to participate in the class

Principal

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	55	64.70	30	35.29	00	00	00	00
2	85	41	48.23	41	48.23	03	3.52	00	00
3	85	48	56.47	34	40	03	3.52	00	00
4	85	50	58.82	31	36.47	04	4.70	00	00
5	85	45	52.94	38	44.70	02	2.35	00	00
6	85	57	67.05	26	30.58	02	2.35	00	00
7	85	53	62.35	28	32.94	04	4.70	00	00
8	85	51	60.00	34	40	00	00	00	00
9	85	54	63.52	30	35.29	01	1.17	00	00
10	85	59	69.41	26	30.58	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR

WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
Class : F-7 B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suresh Rahul N.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 64.70 % students commented as very good, while 35.29 % as good, % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 48.23 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 48.23 % as good 3.52 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 56.47 %, as good by 40 %, as satisfactory by 3.52 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 58.82 % of students, 36.47 % as good, 4.70 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 52.94 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 44.70 % as good, 2.35 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 67.05 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 30.58 % as good, 2.35 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 62.35 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 32.94 % as good, 4.70 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 60.00 % of students, 40 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 63.52 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 35.29 % as good, 1.17 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 69.41 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 30.58 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analysed show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Develop communication skills in students

Ratna
PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabha Devi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher : Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Class: F.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	72	84.70	12	14.11	01	1.17	00	00
2	85	57	67.05	27	31.76	00	00	01	1.17
3	85	57	67.05	24	28.23	03	3.52	01	1.17
4	85	62	72.94	20	23.52	02	2.35	01	1.17
5	85	57	67.05	25	29.41	02	2.35	01	1.17
6	85	55	64.70	28	32.94	01	1.17	01	1.17
7	85	62	72.94	18	21.17	03	3.52	02	2.35
8	85	58	68.23	24	28.23	02	2.35	01	1.17
9	85	61	71.76	21	24.70	01	1.17	01	1.17
10	85	67	78.82	15	17.64	02	2.35	01	1.17





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajashree

Class: F-7-13-5C

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2018-19 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 84.70 % students commented as very good, while 14.11 as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 67.05 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 31.76 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 1.17 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 67.05 %, as good by 28.23 %, as satisfactory by 3.52 % and 1.17 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 72.94 % of students, 23.52 % as good, % as satisfactory and % as unsatisfactory.
5. 67.05 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 29.41 % as good, 2.35 % as satisfactory and 1.17 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 64.70 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 32.94 % as good, 1.17 % as satisfactory and 1.17 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 72.94 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 21.17 % as good, 3.52 % as satisfactory and 2.35 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 68.23 % of students, 28.23 % as good, 2.35 % as satisfactory and 1.17 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 71.76 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 24.70 % as good, 1.17 % satisfactory and 1.17 % unsatisfactory.
10. 78.82 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 17.64 % as good, 2.35 % as satisfactory and 1.17 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Create students interest in the subject.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malhira Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bharati S. Bhosale

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	50	83.33	09	15	01	01.66	00	00
2	60	37	61.66	23	38.33	00	00	00	00
3	60	46	76.66	12	20	02	3.33	00	00
4	60	51	85	09	15	00	00	00	00
5	60	42	70	18	30	00	00	00	00
6	60	52	86.66	07	11.66	01	01.66	00	00
7	60	42	70	17	28.33	01	01.66	00	00
8	60	44	73.33	15	25	01	01.66	00	00
9	60	43	71.66	16	26.66	01	01.66	00	00
10	60	38	66.33	19	31.66	03	5.00	00	00
11	60	49	81.66	8	13.33	03	5.00	00	00
12	60	48	80	8	13.33	03	5.00	01	01.66
13	60	48	80	09	15	03	5.00	00	00
14	60	53	88.33	04	6.66	03	5.00	00	00
15	60	52	86.66	05	8.33	03	5.00	00	00
16	60	52	86.66	05	8.33	02	3.33	01	01.66
17	60	53	88.33	07	11.66	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
CLASS : T.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhorate Bhosale

1. Regarding syllabus, 71.66% of students commented as adequate, 05% as inadequate, 23.33% as challenging and 0% as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 38.33% of students, inadequate by 5% , more than adequate by 56.66% and 0% of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 58.33% of students mentioned that the course was easy, 38.33% as manageable, 2.33% as difficult and 0% as very difficult.
4. 85.00% of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 8.33% % that 70 to 85 %, 6.66% % that 55 to 70% and only 0% students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 43.33% of students opted for adequate, 6.66% for inadequate, 46.67% for more than adequate and 3.33% for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 36.66% of students opted for easily, 8.33% for with some difficulty, 1.66% % for not available not all and 3.33% for with great difficulty.
7. 81.66% of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 13.33% as satisfactorily, 1.66% as poorly and 3.33% as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 78.33% , as sometimes effective by 6.66% , just satisfactorily by 11.66% and 3.33% of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 50% students mentioned mostly yes, 38.33% as sometime, 11.66% as not at all and 0% as always.

10. 72.66% students elected encouraged to raise questions, 21.73% as get involved in discussion in class, 3.33% as encouraged discussion and 3.33% as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 85.00% of students, as sometimes helpful by 6.66%, as not at all helpful by 3.33% and didn't advise by 3.33%.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 85.00% of students, as sometimes rude by 6.66% as always indifferent by 3.33% and 3.33% of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 88.33% of students, sometimes unfair by 6.66%, usually unfair by 1.66% and sometimes fair by 3.33% of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 93.33%, discouraging by 3.33%, no special effect by 3.33% and sometimes effective by 0% of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 95.00%, regular feedback with helpful comments by 3.33%, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 1.66% and feedback without comments was the choice of 0% of students.

16. 91.66% students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 5% students noted that they were partly discussed, 1.66% students that they were not discussed at all and only 1.66% of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 86.66% of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 13.33% mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judge and an affirmative remark given.

Action taken :

Focus on students participation in teaching learning

WBM
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Ms. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	50	83.33	02	3.33	06	10	02	3.33
2	60	47	78.33	05	8.33	08	13.33	00	00
3	60	46	76.66	08	13.33	06	10	00	00
4	60	49	81.66	05	8.33	04	6.66	02	3.33
5	60	41	68.33	04	6.66	15	25	00	00
6	60	55	91.66	01	1.66	02	3.33	02	3.33
7	60	44	73.33	12	20	03	4.99	01	1.66
8	60	46	76.66	01	1.66	10	16.66	03	05
9	60	45	75.00	05	8.33	00	00	10	16.66
10	60	42	70.00	12	20	03	05	03	05
11	60	54	90.00	04	6.66	01	1.66	01	1.66
12	60	56	93.33	00	00	00	00	04	6.66
13	60	55	91.66	01	1.66	02	3.33	02	3.33
14	60	54	90.00	04	6.66	01	1.66	01	1.66
15	60	57	95.00	02	3.33	01	1.66	00	00
16	60	56	93.33	03	5	01	1.66	00	00
17	60	54	90.00	06	10	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018 -19

Name of the Teacher: Ms. Nimblarkar Rajashri T. Y. B. Sc.

1. Regarding syllabus, 83.33 % of students commented as adequate, 3.33 % as inadequate, 10 % as challenging and 3.33 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 78.33 % of students, inadequate by 8.33 %, more than adequate by 13.53 % and 0 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 76.66 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 13.33 % as manageable, 10 % as difficult and 0 % as very difficult.
4. 87.66 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 8.33 % that 70 to 85 %, 6.66 % that 55 to 70% and only 3.33 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 68.33 % of students opted for adequate, 6.66 % for inadequate, 25 % for more than adequate and 0 % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 91.66 % of students opted for easily, 1.66 % for with some difficulty, 3.33 % for not available not all and 8.33 % for with great difficulty.
7. 73.33 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 20 % as satisfactorily, 4.99 % as poorly and 1.66 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 76.66 %, as sometimes effective by 1.66 %, just satisfactorily by 16.66 % and 05 % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 75.00 % students mentioned mostly yes, 8.33 % as sometime, 00 % as not at all and 16.66 % as always.

10. 70.00% students elected encouraged to raise questions, 20.00% as get involved in discussion in class, 05.00% as encouraged discussion and 05.00% as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 90.00% of students, as sometimes helpful by 6.66%, as not at all helpful by 1.66% and didn't advise by 1.66%.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 93.33% of students, as sometimes rude by 00.00% as always indifferent by 00.00% and 6.66% of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 91.66% of students, sometimes unfair by 1.66%, usually unfair by 3.33% and sometimes fair by 3.33% of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 90.00%, discouraging by 6.66%, no special effect by 1.66% and sometimes effective by 1.66% of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 95.00%, regular feedback with helpful comments by 3.33%, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 1.66% and feedback without comments was the choice of 00.00% of students.
16. 93.33% students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 05.00% students noted that they were partly discussed, 1.66% students that they were not discussed at all and only 00.00% of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. 90.00% of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 10.00% mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

Teacher's teaching and curricular aspects are best rated by students

Action taken :

Focus on Students participation in teaching learning

Principal
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akurdi, Tal. Akurdi, Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	48	80.00	02	3.33	09	15	01	1.66
2	60	29	48.33	03	5	28	46.66	00	00
3	60	31	51.66	26	43.33	03	5	00	00
4	60	51	85.00	08	13.33	00	00	01	1.66
5	60	29	48.33	04	6.66	26	43.33	01	1.66
6	60	55	91.66	02	3.33	01	1.66	02	3.33
7	60	47	78.33	6	10	02	3.33	05	8.33
8	60	46	76.66	02	3.33	10	16.66	02	3.33
9	60	37	61.66	05	8.33	00	00	18	30
10	60	24	40.00	31	51.66	00	00	05	8.33
11	60	49	81.66	10	16.66	01	1.66	00	00
12	60	40	66.66	13	21.66	03	05	04	6.66
13	60	48	80.00	07	11.66	04	6.66	01	1.66
14	60	52	86.66	06	10	00	00	02	3.33
15	60	52	86.66	06	10	02	3.33	00	00
16	60	53	88.33	06	10	01	1.66	00	00
17	60	50	83.33	10	16.66	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKULJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018 - 2019
Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Bhise Chhaya

1. Regarding syllabus, 80% of students commented as adequate, 3.33% as inadequate, 15% as challenging and 1.66% as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 48.33% of students, inadequate by 5% , more than adequate by 46.66% and 0% of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 57.66% of students mentioned that the course was easy, 43.33% as manageable, 5% as difficult and 0% as very difficult.
4. 85.00% of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 13.33% that 70 to 85 % , 00% that 55 to 70% and only 1.66% students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 48.33% of students opted for adequate, 6.66% for inadequate, 43.33% for more than adequate and 1.66% for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 91.66% of students opted for easily, 8.33% for with some difficulty, 1.66% for not available not all and 3.33% for with great difficulty.
7. 78.33% of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 10% as satisfactorily, 3.33% as poorly and 8.33% as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 76.66% , as sometimes effective by 3.33%, just satisfactorily by 16.66% and 3.33% of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 61.66% students mentioned mostly yes, 8.33% as sometime, 00% as not at all and 30% as always.

10. 40..... % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 51.66% as get involved in discussion in class, 0..... % as encouraged discussion and 8.33% as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 81.66 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 16.66 %, as not at all helpful by 1.66 % and didn't advise by 0..... %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 66.66% of students, as sometimes rude by 21.66% as always indifferent by 5..... % and 6.66 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 80..... % of students, sometimes unfair by 11.66 %, usually unfair by 6.66 % and sometimes fair by 1.66 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 86.66%, discouraging by 10..... %, no special effect by 0.00 % and sometimes effective by 3.33 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 86.66%, regular feedback with helpful comments by 1.00..... %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 3.33% and feedback without comments was the choice of 0.00..... % of students.

16. 88.33% students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 10..... % students noted that they were partly discussed, 1.66 % students that they were not discussed at all and only 0.00..... % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 88.33% of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 11.66% mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judge and an affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :

Preparation for the classes is needed.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 3

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar Jaaysheela B..

Class: T.Y. B.Sc.

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	60	50	83.33	09	15	01	01.66	00	00
2	60	37	61.66	23	38.33	00	00	00	00
3	60	46	76.66	12	20	02	3.33	00	00
4	60	51	85	09	15	00	00	00	00
5	60	42	70	18	30	00	00	00	00
6	60	52	86.66	07	11.66	01	01.66	00	00
7	60	42	70	17	28.33	01	01.66	00	00
8	60	44	73.33	15	25	01	01.66	00	00
9	60	43	71.66	16	26.66	01	01.66	00	00
10	60	38	66.33	19	31.66	03	5.00	00	00
11	60	49	81.66	8	13.33	03	5.00	00	00
12	60	48	80	8	13.33	03	5.00	01	01.66
13	60	48	80	09	15	03	5.00	00	00
14	60	53	88.33	04	6.66	03	5.00	00	00
15	60	52	86.66	05	8.33	03	5.00	00	00
16	60	52	86.66	05	8.33	02	3.33	01	01.66
17	60	53	88.33	05	8.33	02	3.33	00	00





SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19
class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jaysheelga

1. Regarding syllabus, 83.33% of students commented as adequate, 15% as inadequate, 1.66% as challenging and 0% as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 61.66% of students, inadequate by 38.33%, more than adequate by 0% and 0% of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 76.66% of students mentioned that the course was easy, 20% as manageable, 3.33% as difficult and 0% as very difficult.
4. 85% of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 15% that 70 to 85 %, 0% that 55 to 70% and only 0% students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 70% of students opted for adequate, 30% for inadequate, 0% for more than adequate and 0% for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 86.66% of students opted for easily, 11.66% for with some difficulty, 1.66% for not available not all and 0% for with great difficulty.
7. 70% of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 28.33% as satisfactorily, 1.66% as poorly and 0% as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 73.33%, as sometimes effective by 25%, just satisfactorily by 1.66% and 0% of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 71.66% students mentioned mostly yes, 26.66% as sometime, 1.66% as not at all and 0% as always.

10. 66.33% students elected encouraged to raise questions, 81.66% as get involved in discussion in class, 6.00% as encouraged discussion and 0% as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 81.66% of students, as sometimes helpful by 13.33%, as not at all helpful by 6.00% and didn't advise by 0%.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 80% of students, as sometimes rude by 13.33% as always indifferent by 5.00% and 0% of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 80% of students, sometimes unfair by 15%, usually unfair by 5.00% and sometimes fair by 0% of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 88.33%, discouraging by 6.66%, no special effect by 5.00% and sometimes effective by 0% of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 86.66%, regular feedback with helpful comments by 83.33%, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 5.00% and feedback without comments was the choice of 6.00% of students.
16. 86.66% students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 8.33% students noted that they were partly discussed, 3.33% students that they were not discussed at all and only 1.66% of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. 88.33% of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and 1.66% mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

All the essential qualities have been judged & an affirmative remarks given.

Action taken :


Give books to students related to the subject.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliji Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

STUDENTS' SATISFACTORY SURVEY

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	27	22	74.07	05	18.51	00	00	0	0
2	27	18	66.66	09	33.33	00	00	0	0
3	27	18	66.66	04	14.81	05	18.51	0	0
4	27	19	70.37	08	29.62	00	00	0	0
5	27	13	48.14	11	40.74	03	11.11	0	0
6	27	16	55.55	06	22.22	06	22.22	0	0
7	27	16	59.25	05	18.51	06	22.22	0	0
8	27	17	62.96	05	18.51	05	18.51	0	0
9	27	20	74.07	07	25.92	00	00	0	0
10	27	14	51.85	10	37.03	03	11.11	0	0




A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

Analysis of Feedback From Parents

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	48	25	52.08	17	35.41	05	10.41	1	2.08
2	48	28	58.33	19	39.58	00	00	1	2.08
3	48	17	35.41	28	47.91	02	4.16	1	2.08
4	48	39	81.25	09	18.75	00	00	0	0
5	48	27	56.25	18	37.5	1	2.8	02	4.16
6	48	18	37.5	16	33.33	10	20.83	04	8.32
7	48	29	58.33	14	29.16	05	10.41	0	0
8	48	15	31.25	27	56.25	05	10.41	1	2.08
9	48	29	60.41	12	25	06	12.5	1	2.08
10	48	29	60.41	15	31.25	04	8.32	0	0




A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

Analysis of Feedback From Teachers

Academic Year : 2018-2019

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	6	85.71	1	14.28	0	0	0	0
2	7	7	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	7	6	85.71	1	14.28	0	0	0	0
4	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
5	7	6	85.71	1	14.28	0	0	0	0
6	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0
7	7	4	57.14	2	28.57	01	14.28	0	0
8	7	5	71.42	2	28.57	0	0	0	0



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

FEEDBACK FROM TEACHERS

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2018-19

Feedback was collected from Teachers on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2018-19 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 85.71 % teachers commented as very good , while 14.28 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 100 % of teachers commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course , 0 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 85.71 % , good by 14.28 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of teachers are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 71.42 % of teachers, 28.57 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 85.71 % of teachers opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 14.28 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 71.42 % of teachers commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 28.57 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by teachers are appreciated as very good by 57.14 % of teachers, 28.57 % as good, 14.28 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 71.42 % of teachers gave overall rating as very good, 28.57 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- It is clear that most of the teachers rated the curricular aspects at 'very good' level.
- The learning values & additional learning source materials seem to be graded at only good level more.

Action taken :

- Additional source materials should be created by teachers. Efforts taken by teachers should also be enhanced.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 19/6/2018 at 4:45 pm in the NAAC room. Following issues were discussed in the meeting.

1. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 10.4.2018

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed & finalized by all the members of the committee.

2. Subject 02: To study, analyze and infer the feedback obtained for last academic year

Discussion: The feedback for the last academic year was collected and analyzed by the committee members. The graphical and statistical analysis was done and presented before the committee. Feedback from students, parents and teachers were collected and analyzed systematically. The affirmative as well as low graded feedbacks were discussed in detail.

3. Subject 03: To discuss the action plan to be undertaken as per the analysis of the feedback report

Discussion: On the basis of the feedback analysis and conclusions made after the analysis, for each criterion of the feedback, mode of action was discussed and mentioned specifically in the feedback analysis reports. All the plan of actions was put before the principal for evaluation and necessary execution.


4. Subject 04: To discuss any changes/improvements in the feedback collection methods for the current academic year

Discussion: The feedback collection and analysis system was discussed by the committee. The present mode was found to be easy and useful by all the members and hence, decided to go with the same system hereafter also.

5. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting

Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting

KuBIM
Dr. Mandhar Jaysheelag


PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabha Devi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Maishiras Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the Meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 29/04/2019 at 4:30 pm in the NAAC room. Following issues were discussed in the meeting.

01. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 19.06.2018.
Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed and finalized by all the members of committee.

02. Subject 02: To discuss the method for analyses of feedback collected for the current academic year.
Discussion: It was decided to prepare the online feedback forms (Google forms) so that feedback mechanisms will be easy, transparent & reports can be generated automatically.

03. Subject 03: To discuss the issue of action to be taken on all feedback collected.
Discussion: It was decided to specifically take notes on feedback analyses and convey the improvements to be made. Members suggested to put the conclusion and mode of action to the IQAC.

04. Subject 04: To discuss the use of online feedback mechanisms for next year.
Discussion: It was decided from next academic year feedback would be taken through online mode. All the questionnaires should be converted into Google forms.

05. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting.

Discussion:- No such issue was raised during the meeting.

K. B. M.
A. J. B. M. Mohale

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohale, Pali
College of Home Science for Women
A. H. C. 2, Maharashtra, Dist. Raichur



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai

NAAC A-ACCREDITED INSTITUTION



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil
President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil
B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Principal

Ref. No.

Date -

- Feedback Analysis 2019-2020**

Academic Year 2019-2020

Google form Link

Feedback Form	Google Form Link (url)
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 1	https://forms.gle/5u7TfDQaKsr6NBEb7
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 2	Dr. Surve Rahul N. : https://forms.gle/FoNTaLqR6qG4Uuny5
	Dr. Bhise Chhaya D. : https://forms.gle/Jx72uBe7isUpWhTi6
	Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B. : https://forms.gle/dEVzSgRQfibHfVvc7
	Dr. Bhosale Bharati S. : https://forms.gle/rUWrdKs1Uv1ccQiPA
	Mr. Ghadge Amit B. : https://forms.gle/BhsSJ1WMDt5kwjWt8
	Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R. : https://forms.gle/ddEkWVMhCxrN1WYx9
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 3	Dr. Surve Rahul N. : https://forms.gle/U8RJPdYUdKmywhqSA
	Dr. Bhise Chhaya D. : https://forms.gle/WMfCrc4NsMa9MnVTA
	Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B. : https://forms.gle/YmS6uWwc8aRXpWQUA
	Dr. Bhosale Bharati S. : https://forms.gle/TwgiomHSs3AccKQLA
	Mr. Ghadge Amit B. : https://forms.gle/dREBApEtCUWA4WKp8
	Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R. : https://forms.gle/gDNZ264PJmxUuPxJ7
Students Satisfactory Survey	https://forms.gle/VCuVXdkg7ccyz7nM7

Academic Year 2019-2020

19-20

श्रीमती रत्नप्रभादेवी मोहिते-पाटील गृहविज्ञान महिला महाविद्यालय, अकलुज

महाविद्यालयीन परिसर, भौतिक सुविधा आणि अभ्यासक्रम याबाबत पालकांची मते

पालकांचे नाव:- जा. कृ. का. शैव
पत्ता:- मा. वि. रस

फोन / मोबाईल नं :- 9860845751

- १) आपल्या मते महाविद्यालयाच्या परिसराची शैक्षणिक अनुकूलता अतिउत्तम उत्तम समाधानकारक असमाधानकारक
- २) महाविद्यालयाची इमारत
- ३) महाविद्यालयाच्या इमारतीचे गावाच्या दृष्टीने भौगोलिक स्थान
- ४) दर्ग खोल्या व विद्यार्थी संख्या यांचे प्रमाण
- ५) ग्रंथालय व ग्रंथालयीन सुविधा
- ६) पिण्याच्या पाण्याची सोय व पुरवठा
- ७) प्रसाधन गृहाची संख्या व स्वच्छता
- ८) महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविला जाणारा अभ्यासक्रम
- ९) महाविद्यालयामध्ये राबविले जाणारे अभ्यासोत्तर उपक्रम
- १०) महाविद्यालयातील फर्निचर व प्रयोगशाळा
- ११) महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षक वृंद

काही सुचना असल्यास

जा. का. शैव

2019-20

QUESTIONNAIRE NO.1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS TEACHERS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE
FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ.

Programme : B.Sc.

Department : Home Science

Semester/ Year :

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
A	B	C	D	
VERY GOOD	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	UNSATISFACTORY	

Sr. No.	Parameter	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
01	Depth of the course content including project work if any अभ्यासक्रमाची व्याप्ती : प्रकल्पासहीत		✓		
02	Extent of coverage of course अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाका		✓		
03	Applicability /relevance to real life situations प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील त्याचे उपयोजन		✓		
04	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives) शैक्षणिक मूल्ये : ज्ञान संकल्पना कौशल्ये वाढवीक क्षमता विस्तारवाक्य दृष्टीकोन .		✓		
05	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material वाचनसाहित्याची सुस्पष्टता व संदर्भ नीयता	✓			
06	Relevance of additional source material (Library) अतिरिक्त संदर्भासाहित्य : ग्रंथालय	✓			
07	Extent of efforts required by students विद्यार्थीनींकडून आवश्यक प्रयत्नांची कक्षा		✓		
08	Overall rating सकल गुणवत्ता	✓			

R. R. Nimblorkar

R. R. Nimblorkar


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS


QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Class : T.Y.B.Sc.

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option									
		0		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	77	02	02.6	01	01.3	04	05.2	16	20.8	54	70.1
2	77	01	01.3	04	05.2	05	06.5	15	19.5	52	67.5
3	77	00	00	02	02.6	07	09.1	15	19.5	53	68.8
4	77	00	00	02	02.6	05	06.5	23	29.9	47	61.0
5	77	01	01.3	05	06.5	04	05.2	22	28.6	45	58.8
6	77	00	00	00	00	08	10.4	26	20.8	53	68.8
7	77	00	00	02	02.6	07	09.1	25	32.5	43	55.8
8	77	00	00	01	01.3	05	06.5	20	26.0	51	66.2





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year: 2019 - 2020
class: - T.Y. B.Sc.

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2019-2020 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content, 70.1 % students commented as very good, while 20.8 as good, 5.2 % as satisfactory and 3.9 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 67.5 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 19.5 % as good, 6.5 % as satisfactory & 6.5 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 68.8 %, good by 19.5 %, satisfactory by 9.8 % and 2.6 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard.
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 61 % of students, 29.9 % as good, 6.5 % as satisfactory and 2.6 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 58.4 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good, 28.6 % as good, 5.2 % as satisfactory and 7.8 % unsatisfactory.
6. 68.8 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 20.8 % as good, 10.4 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 55.8 % of students, 32.5 % as good, 7.1 % as satisfactory and 2.6 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 66.2 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 26 % as good, 6.5 % as satisfactory and 1.3 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :


It is clear that students are very much satisfied with the curriculum. They appreciate the extent of the course, learning values, relevance of additional sources etc.

Action taken :

clarity and relevance of textual reading material should be increased, innovative teaching methods should be used. Resources material should be increased.





PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite
College of Home Science for V
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Sol



Credits: 4	
Syllabus	
Practical No.	Practical Units
1	Introduction to the subject
2	Beverages: Refreshing beverages- Juices, Cocktails Nutritious beverages- Milk based beverages, Soups Stimulating beverages- Tea, Coffee
3	Sandwiches: Closed sandwiches, Open sandwiches, Toasted sandwiches
4	Salads: Salad dressings, various salads
5	Snacks: Snacks & Chats
6	Cereal preparations : Rice preparations- <u>Pulao</u> , <u>Biryani</u> Wheat preparations- <u>Parathas</u> , <u>Poories</u>
7	Vegetables preparations: Curries, Baked vegetables
8	Legumes & Pulses preparations: Legumes & pulses recipes- <u>Dal Makhani</u> , <u>Sambar</u> , <u>Chole</u> , <u>Dhansak</u>
9	Indian sweets: <u>Barfi</u> , <u>Gulab Jamun</u> , <u>Karanji</u> , <u>Rasgulla</u> , <u>Kajukatti</u> , <u>Mysore pak</u>
10	Desserts: <u>Iccream</u> , <u>Kulfi</u> , <u>Suffle</u> , <u>Pudding</u>
11	Cakes: <u>Spong Cake</u> , <u>Marble Cake</u> , <u>Chocolate cake</u> , <u>Fruit Cake</u>
12	Pastry: Pastries & Pie
13	Biscuits & Cookies: Coconut biscuits, Melting moments, Pinwheel biscuits, Chocolate cream finger, <u>Nankatai</u>
14	Yeast preparation: Dinner roll, Pizza, Buns, Donate
15	Oriental Cooking: Hakka noodles, Vegetable Manchurian, Spring roll, Chinese fried rice, Mexican bread roll, Tacos, Tortillas, Tom Yam soup, Tofu in Green sauce, Pad Thai noodles, Vegetables in red sauce

- An e-mail communication to BOS member of Home Science by Dr. Bhosale Bharati S. regarding changes to be implemented in Food Science and Nutrition syllabus




- WhattsApp Communication to BOS member of Home Science by Dr. Bhosale Bharati S. regarding changes to be implemented in Food Science and Nutrition syllabus

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	47	1	2.1	1	2.1	5	10.6	40	85.1
2	47	1	2.1	4	8.5	12	25.50	30	63.8
3	47	1	2.1	3	6.4	11	23.4	32	68.1
4	47	1	2.1	3	6.4	9	19.1	34	72.3
5	47	1	2.1	2	4.3	12	25.50	32	68.1
6	47	1	2.1	4	8.5	14	29.8	28	59.6
7	47	1	2.1	3	6.4	7	14.9	36	76.6
8	47	1	2.1	2	4.3	5	10.6	39	83.0
9	47	1	2.1	3	6.4	15	31.9	28	59.6
10	47	1	2.1	0	0	10	21.3	36	76.6





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Academic Year : 2019-20

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Chhaya Bhisel

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019-2020 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 85.1 % students commented as very good, while 10.6 % as good, 2.1 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 63.8 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 25.50 % as good 8.5 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 68.1 %, as good by 23.4 %, as satisfactory by 6.4 % and 2.1 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 72.3 % of students, 19.1 % as good, 6.4 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 68.1 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 25.50 % as good, 4.3 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 59.6 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 29.8 % as good, 8.5 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 76.6 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 14.9 % as good, 6.4 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 83.0 % of students, 10.6 % as good, 4.3 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 59.6 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 31.9 % as good, 6.4 % satisfactory and 2.1 % unsatisfactory.
10. 76.6 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 21.3 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 2.1 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

Encourage students to participate in the class.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
PRINCIPAL


Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

KWBH

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	72	1	1.4	6	8.3	3	4.2	62	86.1
2	72	1	1.4	3	4.2	12	16.7	56	77.8
3	72	3	4.2	5	6.9	11	15.3	53	73.6
4	72	1	1.4	5	6.9	12	16.7	54	75
5	72	0	0	7	9.7	11	15.3	54	75
6	72	1	1.4	7	9.7	9	12.5	55	76.4
7	72	2	2.8	3	4.2	6	8.3	61	84.7
8	72	2	2.8	8	11.1	9	12.5	53	73.6
9	72	2	2.8	5	6.9	9	12.5	56	77.8
10	72	1	1.4	7	9.7	7	9.7	57	79.2





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Academic Year : 2019 - 2020

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Bhosale Pharati

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019-2020 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 86.1 % students commented as very good, while 14.2 % as good, 8.3 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 77.8 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 16.7 % as good 4.2 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 73.6 %, as good by 15.3 %, as satisfactory by 6.9 % and 4.2 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 75 % of students, 16.7 % as good, 6.9 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 75 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 15.3 % as good, 9.7 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 76.4 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 12.5 % as good, 9.7 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 84.7 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 8.3 % as good, 4.2 % as satisfactory and 2.8 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 73.6 % of students, 12.5 % as good, 11.1 % as satisfactory and 2.8 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 72.8 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 12.5 % as good, 6.9 % satisfactory and 2.8 % unsatisfactory.
10. 79.2 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 9.7 % as good, 9.7 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

above feedback analysis show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :


Encourage students to ask questions.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malhar Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	73	4	5.5	8	11	14	19.2	47	64.4
2	73	1	1.4	11	15.1	19	26	42	57.5
3	73	5	6.8	10	13.7	11	15.1	47	64.4
4	73	4	5.5	10	13.7	14	19.2	45	61.6
5	73	0	0	10	13.7	16	21.9	47	64.4
6	73	2	2.7	9	12.3	19	26	43	58.9
7	73	4	5.5	10	13.7	15	20.5	44	60.3
8	73	3	4.1	11	15.1	16	21.9	43	58.9
9	73	5	6.8	7	9.7	15	20.5	46	63
10	73	3	4.1	7	9.6	12	16.4	51	69.9





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Academic Year : 2019 - 20

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jaysheela

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019 - 20 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 64.4 % students commented as very good, while 19.2 % as good, 11 % as satisfactory and 5.5 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 57.5 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 26 % as good, 15.1 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 64.4 %, as good by 15.1 %, as satisfactory by 13.7 % and 6.8 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 61.6 % of students, 19.2 % as good, 13.7 % as satisfactory and 5.5 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 64.4 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 21.9 % as good, 13.7 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 58.9 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 26 % as good, 12.3 % as satisfactory and 2.7 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 60.3 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 20.5 % as good, 13.7 % as satisfactory and 5.5 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 58.9 % of students, 21.9 % as good, 15.1 % as satisfactory and 4.1 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 63 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 20.5 % as good, 9.7 % as satisfactory and 6.8 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 69.9 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 16.4 % as good, 9.6 % as satisfactory and 4.1 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teachers teaching and efforts.

Action taken :

KUMBH

Tell students more about the subjects.


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	79	1	1.3	8	10.1	13	16.5	57	62.2
2	79	3	3.8	11	13.9	17	21.5	48	60.8
3	79	2	4.70	5	11.6	9	20.9	27	62.8
4	79	2	2.5	10	12.7	18	22.8	49	62
5	79	4	5.1	6	7.6	16	20.3	53	67.1
6	79	4	5.1	3	3.8	23	29.1	49	62
7	79	3	3.8	3	3.8	14	17.7	59	74.7
8	79	2	2.5	8	10.1	16	20.3	53	67.1
9	79	4	5.1	6	7.2	19	24.1	50	63.3
10	79	2	2.5	3	3.8	12	15.2	62	78.5





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Academic Year : 2019-20

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Suneel Rahul N.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019-2020 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher. 62.2 % students commented as very good, while 16.5 % as good, 10.1 % as satisfactory and 11.3 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 60.8 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 21.5 % as good 13.9 % as satisfactory and 3.8 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 62.8 %, as good by 20.9 %, as satisfactory by 11.6 % and 4.20 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 62 % of students, 22.8 % as good, 12.7 % as satisfactory and 2.5 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 67.1 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 20.3 % as good, 7.6 % as satisfactory and 5.1 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 29.1 % as good, 3.8 % as satisfactory and 5.1 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 74.1 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 17.7 % as good, 3.8 % as satisfactory and 3.8 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 67.1 % of students, 20.3 % as good, 10.2 % as satisfactory and 2.5 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 63.3 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 24.1 % as good, 7.2 % as satisfactory and 5.1 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 78.5 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 15.2 % as good, 8.8 % as satisfactory and 2.5 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching and efforts

Action taken :

Tell students more about how your subject will benefit them


PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 2 Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B..

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	76	3	3.9	6	7.9	5	6.6	62	81.6
2	76	4	5.3	9	11.8	8	10.5	55	72.4
3	76	3	3.9	6	7.9	10	13.2	56	73.7
4	76	3	3.9	3	3.9	13	17.1	57	75
5	76	3	3.9	5	6.6	9	11.8	59	77.6
6	76	4	5.3	10	13.2	8	10.5	54	71.1
7	76	4	5.3	4	5.3	11	14.5	57	75
8	76	4	5.3	2	2.6	9	11.8	61	80.3
9	76	5	6.6	6	7.9	9	11.8	56	73.7
10	76	4	5.3	4	5.3	10	13.2	58	76.3





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Academic Year : 2019 - 2020

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019-2020 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 81.6 % students commented as very good, while 6.6 % as good, 7.9 % as satisfactory and 3.9 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 72.4 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 10.5 % as good 11.8 % as satisfactory and 5.3 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 73.7 %, as good by 13.2 %, as satisfactory by 7.9 % and 2.9 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 75 % of students, 17.1 % as good, 3.9 % as satisfactory and 3.9 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 77.6 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 11.8 % as good, 6.6 % as satisfactory and 3.9 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 71.1 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 10.5 % as good, 13.2 % as satisfactory and 5.3 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 75 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 14.5 % as good, 5.3 % as satisfactory and 5.8 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 80.3 % of students, 11.8 % as good, 2.6 % as satisfactory and 5.3 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 73.7 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 11.8 % as good, 7.9 % as satisfactory and 6.6 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 76.3 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 13.2 % as good, 5.3 % as satisfactory and 5.3 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedback analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching & efforts.

Action taken :

Give books to students related to the subject.

Principal
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher : Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Que No.	Total Responses	Answer Option							
		1		2		3		4	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	59	2	3.4	4	6.8	8	13.6	45	76.3
2	59	2	3.4	7	11.9	10	16.9	40	67.8
3	59	5	8.5	2	3.4	13	22	38	64.4
4	59	1	1.7	12	20.3	8	13.6	38	64.4
5	59	2	3.4	8	13.6	13	22	36	61
6	59	1	1.7	8	13.6	13	22	37	62.7
7	59	1	1.7	8	13.6	14	23.7	36	61
8	59	3	5.1	8	13.6	12	20.3	36	61
9	59	2	3.4	3	5.1	16	27.1	38	64.4
10	59	2	3.4	6	10.2	12	20.3	39	66.1





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Nimhorkar Rajashri R

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2019-20 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher, 76.3 % students commented as very good, while 13.6 % as good, 6.8 % as satisfactory and 3.4 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 67.8 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 16.9 % as good, 11.9 % as satisfactory and 3.4 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 64.4 %, as good by 22 %, as satisfactory by 3.4 % and 8.5 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 64.4 % of students, 13.6 % as good, 20.3 % as satisfactory and 1.7 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 61 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 22 % as good, 13.6 % as satisfactory and 3.4 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.7 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 22 % as good, 13.6 % as satisfactory and 1.7 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 61 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 23.7 % as good, 13.6 % as satisfactory and 1.7 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 61 % of students, 20.3 % as good, 13.6 % as satisfactory and 5.1 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 64.4 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 27.1 % as good, 5.1 % satisfactory and 3.4 % unsatisfactory.
10. 66.1 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 20.3 % as good, 10.2 % as satisfactory and 3.4 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Above feedbacks analyses show that students appreciate the teacher's teaching & efforts.

Action taken :

Encourage students to read books on the subject.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Analysis of Feedback on Teacher Questionnaire No. 3 Academic Year : 2019-2020

1. The syllabus of each course was _____

Name of the Teacher	Adequate	Inadequate	Challenging	Dull
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	80.4	2	17.6	--
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	89.7	00	10.3	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	91.4	00	8.6	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	88.6	00	11.4	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	82.9	2.9	14.3	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	80.6	--	19.4	--

2. Background for benefiting from the course was _____

Name of the Teacher	Adequate	Inadequate	More than adequate	Cannot say
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	74.5	2	17.6	5.9
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	84.6	00	15.4	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	71.4	00	28.6	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	80	00	20	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	77.1	2.9	20	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	77.4	--	22.6	--

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand?

Name of the Teacher	Easy	Manageable	Difficult	Very difficult
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	72.5	27.5		
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	89.7	10.3	00	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	94.3	5.7	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	91.4	5.7	2.9	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	80	14.3	2.9	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	96.8	3.2	00	00

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

Name of the Teacher	85 to 100 %	70 to 85 %	55 to 70 %	less than 55 %
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	76.5	17.6	2	3.9
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	89.7	5.1	5.1	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	94.3	5.7	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	80	20	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	74.3	17.1	8.6	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	77.4	19.4	3.2	00

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?

Name of the Teacher	Adequate	Inadequate	More than adequate	Very poor
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	74.5	2	23.5	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	87.2	00	12.8	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	80	00	20	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	74.3	2.9	22.9	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	77.1	5.7	17.1	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	80.6	3.2	12.9	3.2

6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

Name of the Teacher	Easily	with some difficulty	not available at all	with great difficulty
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	84.3	11.8	00	3.9
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	97.4	2.6	00	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	91.4	8.6	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	88.6	11.4	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	91.4	8.6	00	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	87.1	12.9	00	00

7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes?

Name of the Teacher	thoroughly	satisfactorily	Poorly	indifferently
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	62.7	27.5	00	9.8
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	89.7	7.7	00	2.6
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	88.6	11.4	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	80	14.3	00	5.7
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	77.1	14.3	5.7	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	96.8	3.2	00	00

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate?

Name of the Teacher	always effective	sometimes effective	just satisfactorily	generally ineffective
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	84.3	2	13.7	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	94.9	00	5.1	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	88.6	5.7	5.7	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	80	5.7	11.4	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	00	00	00

9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class?

Name of the Teacher	mostly Yes	sometimes	not at all	always
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	60.8	3.9	00	35.3
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	79.5	00	00	20.5
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	85.7	00	00	14.3
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	74.3	11.4	00	14.3
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	71.4	11.4	2.9	14.3
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	80.6	00	00	19.4

10. If yes, which of the following methods were used?

Name of the Teacher	encouraged to raise questions	get involved in discussions in class	encouraged discussions outside the class	did not encourage
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	66.7	62.7	19.6	2
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	94.9	46.2	17.9	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	85.7	62.9	25.7	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	85.7	51.4	22.9	2.9
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	85.7	51.4	22.9	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	77.4	64.5	35.5	00

11. How helpful was the teacher in advising?



Name of the Teacher	very helpful	sometimes helpful	not at all helpful	did not advice
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	92.3	7.7	00	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	85.7	14.3	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	80	17.1	2.9	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	00	00	00

12. The teacher's approach can best be described as

Name of the Teacher	always courteous	sometimes rude	always indifferent
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	84.3	00	15.7
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	92.3	00	7.7
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	91.4	2.9	5.7
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	82.9	2.9	14.3
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	74.3	11.4	14.3
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	90.3	00	9.7

13. Internal assessment was was

Name of the Teacher	always fair	sometimes unfair	usually unfair	sometimes fair
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	94.1	5.9	00	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	92.3	5.1	00	2.6
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	94.3	5.7	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	88.6	00	2.9	8.6
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	93.5	6.5	00	00

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?

Name of the Teacher	helps to improve	discouraging	no special effect	sometimes effective
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	94.1	00	5.9	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	88.6	2.9	5.7	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	00	00	00

15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

Name of the Teacher	regularly/in time	with helpful comments	often late	without any comments
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	92.2	29.4	00	00
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	97.4	23.1	00	2.6
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	91.4	40	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	100	31.4	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	88.6	40	2.9	2.9
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	38.7	00	00

16. Were your assignments discussed with you?

Name of the Teacher	yes, fully	yes, partly	not discussed at all	sometimes discussed
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	96.1	2	00	2
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	97.4	00	00	2.6
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	97.1	2.9	00	00
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	100	00	00	00
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	88.6	8.6	2.9	00
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	00	00	00


17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

Name of the Teacher	Yes	No
Dr. Surve Rahul N.	94.1	5.9
Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.	97.4	2.6
Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.	97.1	2.9
Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.	94.3	5.7
Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.	88.6	11.4
Mr. Ghadge Amit B.	100	00

Analysis of Feedback From Parents

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	06	42.85	07	50	01	7.14	00	00
2	14	05	35.71	08	57.14	01	7.14	00	00
3	14	05	35.71	09	64.28	00	00	00	00
4	14	05	35.71	09	64.28	00	00	00	00
5	14	08	57.14	06	42.85	00	00	00	00
6	14	06	42.85	07	50	01	7.14	00	00
7	14	07	50	07	50	00	00	00	00
8	14	10	71.42	04	28.57	00	00	00	00
9	14	09	64.28	05	35.71	00	00	00	00
10	14	06	42.85	07	50	00	00	00	00
11	14	07	50	06	42.85	01	7.14	00	00




A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

Analysis of Feedback From Teachers

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option							
		A		B		C		D	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	4	50	4	50	00	00	00	00
2	8	4	50	3	37.5	01	12.5	00	00
3	8	6	75	2	25	00	00	00	00
4	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	00	00	00	00
5	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	00	00	00	00
6	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	00	00	00	00
7	8	3	37.5	5	62.5	00	00	00	00
8	8	4	50	4	50	00	00	00	00



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

FEEDBACK FROM TEACHERS

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Feedback was collected from Teachers on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year 2019-2020 is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 50 % teachers commented as very good , while 50 as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 50 % of teachers commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course , 37.5 % as good , 12.5 % as satisfactory & 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 75 % , good by 25 % , satisfactory by 0 % and 0 % of teachers are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 62.5 % of teachers, 37.5 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 87.5 % of teachers opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 12.5 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
6. 87.5 % of teachers commented on relevance of additional source material as very good , 12.5 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by teachers are appreciated as very good by 37.5 % of teachers , 62.5 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 50 % of teachers gave overall rating as very good , 50 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

The learning values & additional learning source materials seem to graded at only good level more.

PRINCIPAL

Action taken :

Additional source materials should be created by teachers. Efforts taken by teachers should also be enhanced.

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Matshiras Dist. Solapur


WJBH

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS' SATISFACTORY SURVEY

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

OVERALL RATING OF THE INSTITUTE

Que. No.	Total Responses	Answer option									
		1		2		3		4		5	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	50	32	64	7	14	7	14	4	8	0	0
2	50	37	74	7	14	2	4	4	8	0	0
3	50	37	74	8	16	1	2	3	6	1	2
4	50	41	82	3	6	2	4	4	8	0	0
5	50	34	68	11	22	1	2	4	8	0	0
6	50	37	74	14	28	4	8	4	8	1	2
7	50	31	62	16	32	1	2	2	4	0	0
8	50	30	60	8	16	7	14	4	8	1	2
9	50	37	74	8	16	1	2	4	8	0	0
10	50	39	78	6	12	1	2	3	6	1	2



A	B	C	D
Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 18/07/2019 at 2.00 pm in the NANC room.

Following issues were discussed in the meeting

1. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 29/4/2019

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed and finalized by all the members of the committee

2. Subject 02: To study, analyze and infer the feedback obtained for last academic year

Discussion: To feedback for the last academic year was collected and analyzed by the committee members. The graphical and statistical analysis was done and presented before the committee. Feedback from students, parents and teachers were collected and analyzed systematically. The affirmative as well as low graded feedbacks were discussed in detail.

3. Subject 03: To discuss the action plan to be undertaken as per the analysis of the feedback report.

Discussion: On the basis of the feedback analysis and conclusions made after the analysis, for each criterion of the feedback, mode of action was discussed and mentioned specifically in the feedback analysis reports. All the plan of actions was put before the principal for evaluation

and necessary execution.

4. Subject 04: To discuss any changes/improvements in the feedback collection methods for the current academic year.

Discussion: The feedback collection and analysis system was discussed by the committee. The present mode was found to be easy & useful by all the members and hence decided to go with the same system hereafter also.

5. Subject 05: To discuss any other relevant issues raised during the meeting.

Discussion: No such issue was raised in the meeting.

KWBM

Dr. Manohar Jaysheela P

Principal
Smt. Neharajbai D. Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliji Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 20/06/2020 at 1:30 in the NAAC room. The following issues were discussed in the meeting.

01. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of last meeting held on 18/07/2019.
Discussion: The minutes of the last meeting were discussed and finalized.
02. Subject 02: To discuss the matters of online feedback mechanisms.
Discussion: It was decided to make use of online feedback forms. Members suggested to construct a combined feedback form so that students, alumni & teachers can easily give the feedback.
03. Subject 03: To discuss the mode of action to be taken on feedback analysis reports.
Discussion: It was decided to work on the feedback analysis conclusions topic wise. Stakeholders should be communicated about the changes or improvements.
- Subject 04: To discuss the issues of uploading the feedback reports on college website.
Discussion: It was decided to upload the feedback analysis reports on the college website along with the action taken reports.
- Subject 05: To discuss any other issues raised in meeting.

Discussion: No other issue was raised.

KUMBH
Dr. Jayashree Handole

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akshi Tal, Maharashtra Dist. Solapur



Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women, Akulj

Tal. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur (M. S.) 413 101
Affiliated to S.N.D.T. Women's University, Mumbai

NAAC A-ACCREDITED INSTITUTION



Founder : Sahakar Maharshi Shankarrao Narayanrao Mohite-Patil
President : Shri. Jaysinh Shankarrao Mohite-Patil

Chairman

Ku. Swaruparani Jaysinh Mohite-Patil
B.H.Sc.M.A.(Counselling Psychology)

Principal

Ref. No.

Date -

- Feedback Analysis 2020-2021**

Academic Year 2020-2021


Google form Link

Feedback Form	Google Form Link (url)
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 1	https://forms.gle/E9dc6k4ygGsQHgNx8
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 2	https://forms.gle/t2KWDyCJDdH5MhUb9
Feedback from Students : Questionnaire No. 3	Dr. Surve Rahul N. : https://forms.gle/HMGfdFpgit1y1bCD6
	Dr. Bhise Chhaya D. : https://forms.gle/GGN4FXxYYTaAjQGV7
	Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B. : https://forms.gle/5LCAGAU227mgjez29
	Dr. Bhosale Bharati S. : https://forms.gle/peJLixx8Jt3PpLAW5
	Mr. Ghadge Amit B. : https://forms.gle/k72193fjx8DgZ8yu5
	Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R. : https://forms.gle/fcPVmQNYgfLKptKz7
Feedback from Teachers	https://forms.gle/rHnpEpuYMcrc3nB39
Feedback from Alumni	https://forms.gle/amDWdaeh2euwaB2Y8

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 01

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	69	59	85.50	7	10.10	2	2.90	1	1.4
2	69	51	73.90	14	20.30	2	2.90	2	2.9
3	69	57	82.60	10	14.50	1	1.40	1	1.4
4	69	56	81.20	9	13.00	2	2.90	2	2.9
5	69	52	75.40	12	17.40	2	2.90	3	4.3
6	69	52	75.40	15	21.70	0	0.00	2	2.9
7	69	52	75.40	14	20.30	1	1.40	2	2.9
8	69	52	75.40	15	21.70	0	0.00	2	2.9





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 1

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Feedback was collected from students on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year .. is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 85 % students commented as very good , while 10.10 as good , 2.90 % as satisfactory and 1.4 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 73.90 % of students commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course, 20.30 % as good , 2.90 % as satisfactory & 2.90 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 82.60 % , good by 14.50 % , satisfactory by 1.40 % and 1.40 % of students are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 81.20 % of students, 13 % as good , 2.90 % as satisfactory and 2.90 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 75.40 % of students opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 17.10 % as good , 2.9 % as satisfactory and 4.30 % unsatisfactory.
6. 75.40 % of students commented on relevance of additional source material as very good, 21.70 % as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 2.90 % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by students are appreciated as very good by 75.40 % of students, 20.30 % as good , 1.40 % as satisfactory and 2.9 % as unsatisfactory.
8. 75.40 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 21.70 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 2.9 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Feedback from students on curriculum & syllabus is satisfactory.
- Extent of coverage of the course is rated low.

Action taken :

- Necessary changes should be done in the delivery of the course contents.
- curriculum updation should be suggested to concern BOS.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malsiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher


Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of Teacher :Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	21	72.41	7	24.14	1	3.45	0	0
2	29	22	75.86	6	20.69	1	3.45	0	0
3	29	19	65.52	8	27.59	2	6.90	0	0
4	29	21	72.41	8	27.59	0	0.00	0	0
5	29	21	72.41	6	20.69	2	6.90	0	0
6	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
7	29	19	65.52	7	24.14	3	10.34	0	0
8	29	20	68.97	9	31.03	0	0.00	0	0
9	29	19	65.52	8	27.59	2	6.90	0	0
10	29	23	79.31	6	20.69	0	0.00	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLIJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Chhaya D. Bhise

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.41 % students commented as very good, while 24.14 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 75.86 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 20.69 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 65.52 %, as good by 27.59 %, as satisfactory by 6.90 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 72.41 % of students, 27.59 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 72.41 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 20.69 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.07 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 31.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 65.52 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 24.14 % as good, 10.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 68.97 % of students, 31.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 65.52 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 27.59 % as good, 6.90 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 79.31 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 20.69 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Very nice and satisfactory feedback is provided by students.
- Teacher's knowledge-base is appreciated.

Action taken :

- Sufficient time should be provided for feedback.
- Tests, assignments, quizzes should be allotted.

Principal
PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher

Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of Teacher :Dr. Bhosale Bharati S.

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	21	72.41	8	27.59	0	0	0	0
2	29	22	75.86	7	24.14	0	0	0	0
3	29	17	58.62	9	31.03	3	10.34	0	0
4	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
5	29	22	75.86	6	20.69	1	3.45	0	0
6	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
7	29	19	65.52	7	24.14	3	10.34	0	0
8	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0
9	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0
10	29	21	72.41	7	24.14	1	3.45	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

**SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ**

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhosale Bhorati S.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.41 % students commented as very good, while 27.59 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 75.86 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 24.14 % as good 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 58.62 %, as good by 31.03 %, as satisfactory by 10.34 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 62.07 % of students, 31.03 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 75.86 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 20.69 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.07 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 31.03 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 65.52 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 24.14 % as good, 10.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 68.97 % of students, 27.59 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 68.97 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 27.59 % as good, 3.45 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 72.41 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 24.14 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Very satisfactory feedback by students.
- Overall rating is also good.

Action taken :

- Creation of interest among students is suggested.
- Assignments should be given innovatively.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher

Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of Teacher :Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	21	72.41	8	27.59	0	0	0	0
2	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
3	29	16	55.17	11	37.93	2	6.90	0	0
4	29	17	58.62	12	41.38	0	0	0	0
5	29	15	51.72	12	41.38	2	6.90	0	0
6	29	17	58.62	10	34.48	2	6.90	0	0
7	29	18	62.07	8	27.59	3	10.34	0	0
8	29	19	65.52	10	34.48	0	0	0	0
9	29	16	55.17	12	41.38	1	3.45	0	0
10	29	19	65.52	9	31.03	1	3.45	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jayshree B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.


1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.41 % students commented as very good, while 27.59 as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 62.07 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 31.03 % as good 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 55.17 %, as good by 37.93 %, as satisfactory by 6.90 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 58.62 % of students, 41.38 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 51.72 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 41.38 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 58.62 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 34.48 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 62.07 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 27.59 % as good, 10.34 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 65.52 % of students, 34.48 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 55.17 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 41.38 % as good, 3.45 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 65.52 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 31.03 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Satisfactory feedback on the teacher.

Action taken :

- Accessibility should be increased.
- Integrate the contents with other courses
- Interest should be generated.


PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher

Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2019-2020

Name of Teacher :Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	21	72.41	7	24.14	1	3.45	0	0
2	29	19	65.52	9	31.03	1	3.45	0	0
3	29	16	55.17	11	37.93	2	6.90	0	0
4	29	19	65.52	10	34.48	0	0.00	0	0
5	29	20	68.97	7	24.14	2	6.90	0	0
6	29	15	51.72	13	48.83	1	3.45	0	0
7	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
8	29	21	72.41	7	24.14	1	3.45	0	0
9	29	17	58.62	11	37.93	0	0.00	1	3.45
10	29	18	62.07	11	37.93	0	0.00	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Surve Rahul N.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 72.41 % students commented as very good, while 24.14 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and — % as unsatisfactory.
2. 65.52 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 31.02 % as good 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 55.17 %, as good by 37.93 %, as satisfactory by 6.90 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 65.52 % of students, 34.48 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 68.97 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 24.14 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 51.72 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 18.83 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 62.07 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 31.03 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 72.41 % of students, 24.14 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 58.62 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 37.93 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 3.45 % as unsatisfactory.
10. 62.07 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 37.93 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Feedback is satisfactory.
- Teacher's involvement and commitment are well judged by students.

Action taken :

- Focus should be given on the use of other teaching aids and making the process student-centric.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-P
College of H.
Akluj Tal. Mandla
Solapur


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher

Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of Teacher :Mr. Ghadge Amit B..

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	24	82.76	3	10.34	2	6.90	0	0
2	29	23	79.31	5	17.24	0	0.00	1	3.45
3	29	21	72.41	6	20.69	2	6.90	0	0
4	29	21	72.41	8	27.59	0	0.00	0	0
5	29	23	79.31	5	17.24	0	0.00	1	3.45
6	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0
7	29	19	65.52	9	31.03	1	3.45	0	0
8	29	24	82.76	5	17.24	0	0.00	0	0
9	29	21	72.41	8	27.59	0	0.00	0	0
10	29	26	89.66	3	10.34	0	0.00	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 82.76 % students commented as very good, while 10.34 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 79.31 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 17.24 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 3.45 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 72.41 % as good by 20.69 % as satisfactory by 6.90 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 72.41 % of students, 27.59 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 3.45 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 79.31 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 17.24 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 3.45 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 68.97 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 27.59 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 65.52 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 31.03 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 82.76 % of students, 17.24 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 72.41 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 27.59 % as good, 0 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 89.66 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 10.34 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Feedback is satisfactory.

Action taken :

- Provision of sufficient time for feedback is needed.
- Enhance the accessibility.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women


Analysis of Feedback on Teacher

Questionnaire No. 2

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of Teacher : Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashri R.

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	29	23	79.31	6	20.69	0	0	0	0
2	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0
3	29	17	58.62	11	37.93	1	3.45	0	0
4	29	20	68.97	9	31.03	0	0	0	0
5	29	20	68.97	7	24.14	2	6.90	0	0
6	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
7	29	18	62.07	9	31.03	2	6.90	0	0
8	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0
9	29	20	68.97	7	24.14	2	6.90	0	0
10	29	20	68.97	8	27.59	1	3.45	0	0





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 2

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Nimsherkar Rajashri R.

Feedback was collected from students on the teacher and teaching. The analyses for Academic year 2020-21 as follows.

1. Regarding the knowledge-base of the teacher 79.31 % students commented as very good, while 20.69 as good, — % as satisfactory and — % as unsatisfactory.
2. 68.97 % of students commented very good for the communication skill in terms of articulation and comprehensibility, 27.59 % as good 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
3. Commitment of the teacher for syllabus was experienced as very good by 58.62 %, as good by 37.93 %, as satisfactory by 3.45 % and 0 % of students as unsatisfied.
4. Interest generated by the teacher was commented as very good by 68.97 % of students, 31.03 % as good, 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
5. 68.97 % of students opined about the ability to integrate course material with environment and to provide a broader perspective as very good, 24.14 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
6. 62.07 % of students commented on ability to integrate content with other course as very good, 31.03 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
7. 62.07 % of students commented on accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class as very good, 31.03 % as good, 6.90 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
8. Ability to design quizzes, tests, assignments and examinations by a teacher are commented as very good by 68.97 % of students, 27.59 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
9. 68.97 % of students commented on provision of sufficient time for feedback as very good, 24.14 % as good, 6.90 % satisfactory and 0 % unsatisfactory.
10. 68.97 % of students gave overall rating as very good, 27.59 % as good, 3.45 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

- Satisfactory feedback.

Action taken :

- Use of other teaching methods as suggested.
- Accessibility should be enhanced.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur

Questionnaire No. 3 Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.

४० प्रतिसाद



2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .

४० प्रतिसाद




3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?

४० प्रतिसाद



4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?

४० प्रतिसाद




5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?

४० प्रतिसाद



6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?


४० प्रतिसाद



- Easily सहजपणे
- with some difficulty थोड्या त्रासाने
- not available at all मुळीच उपलब्ध उपलब्ध न्हवते
- with great difficulty खूप प्रयत्नाने

7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?


४० प्रतिसाद



- thoroughly खोलवर
- satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- poorly खूप कमी
- indifferently समप्रमाणात

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?


४० प्रतिसाद



- always effective नेहमी परिणामकारक
- sometimes effective कधीतरी परिणामकारक
- just satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- generally ineffective नेहमीच असमाधानकारक

9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?


४० प्रतिसाद



- mostly Yes खूपवेळा
- sometimes कधी कधी
- not at all कधीच नाही
- always नेहमीच

10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

४० प्रतिसाद



11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?

४० प्रतिसाद




12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल

४० प्रतिसाद




13. Internal assessment was अतः मूल्यमापनअसं असतं

४० प्रतिसाद



14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


४० प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक


15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

४० प्रतिसाद



16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

४० प्रतिसाद



- yes, fully होय पूर्णपणे
- yes, partly होय काही प्रमाणात
- not discussed at all चर्चा केली जात नाही
- sometimes discussed कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

४० प्रतिसाद



- Yes होय
- No नाही

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

४० प्रतिसाद

No

No

No comments

नाही

All the subjects are very nice

काही नाही

Nothing

Nothing
mam is wonderful teacher. She is best ever teacher for mi 😊





QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Bhise Chhaya D.

1. Regarding syllabus, 72.5 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 22.5 % as challenging and 5 % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 61.5 % of students, inadequate by 0 %, more than adequate by 32.5 % and 5 % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 65 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 32.5 % as manageable, 2.5 % as difficult and — % as very difficult.
4. 82.5 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 15 % that 70 to 85 %, 2.5 % that 55 to 70 % and only 0 % students are of the opinion that less than 55 % syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 72.5 % of students opted for adequate, — % for inadequate, 27.5 % for more than adequate and — % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 82.5 % of students opted for easily, 12.5 % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not all and 5 % for with great difficulty.
7. 70 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 25 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and 5 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 92.5 %, as sometimes effective by 2.5 %, just satisfactorily by 5 % and — % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 62.5 % students mentioned mostly yes, 5 % as sometime, — % as not at all and 32.5 % as always.

10. 70 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 50 % as get involved in discussion in class, 12.5 % as encouraged discussion and — % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 92.5 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 7.5 %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 95 % of students, as sometimes rude by — % as always indifferent by 5 % and — % of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 87.5 % of students, sometimes unfair by 5 %, usually unfair by — % and sometimes fair by 7.5 % of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 97.5 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by — % and sometimes effective by 2.5 % of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 87.5 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 30 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.
16. 95 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 5 % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only — % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :


- Feedback is satisfactory.
- Teachers' efforts are appreciated.

Action taken :

- Participate students in teaching learning.
- Increase the learning material of the subjects.

1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.


२९ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेसा
- Inadequate अपुरा
- Challenging आव्हानात्मक
- Dull निरस

2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .


२९ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेसा
- Inadequate अपुरा
- More than adequate गरजेपेक्षा अधिक
- Cannot say सांगता येत नाही

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- Easy सोपे
- Manageable प्रयत्नपूर्वक समजण्यायोगे
- Difficult अवघड
- Very difficult अतिशय अवघड

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- 85 to 100 % ८५ ते १०० टक्के
- 70 to 85 % ७० ते ८५ टक्के
- 55 to 70 % ५५ ते ७० टक्के
- less than 55 % ५५ टक्क्यापेक्षा कमी

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?

२९ प्रतिसाद




6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?

२९ प्रतिसाद




7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?

२९ प्रतिसाद



8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- always effective नेहमी परिणामकारक
- sometimes effective कधीतरी परिणामकारक
- just satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- generally ineffective नेहमीच असमाधानकारक

9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- mostly Yes खूपवेळा
- sometimes कधी कधी
- not at all कधीच नाही
- always नेहमीच

10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

२९ प्रतिसाद



11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- very helpful खूप
- sometimes helpful कधी कधी
- not at all helpful सल्ला उपयुक्त नसतो
- did not advice कधीच नाही

12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल


२९ प्रतिसाद



- always courteous नेहमी आदरणीय
- sometimes rude कधी कधी रुक्ष
- always indifferent नेहमी समान

13. Internal assessment was अंतर्गत मूल्यमापनअसे असते

२९ प्रतिसाद



- always fair नेहमीच पारदर्शक
- sometimes unfair कधी कधी अपारदर्शक
- usually unfair नेहमीच अपारदर्शक
- sometimes fair कधी कधी पारदर्शक

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


२९ प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक

15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

२९ प्रतिसाद



16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

२९ प्रतिसाद



17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

२९ प्रतिसाद



18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

२९ प्रतिसाद

No

काहीही नाही

Nothing

No suggestions



No comments

Good

Thanks to all

Amhala upyogi yeil asa abhyaskram hota



Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher : Dr. Phasale Bharati S.

1. Regarding syllabus, 69 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 31 % as challenging and — % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 72.4 % of students, inadequate by — % , more than adequate by 27.6 % and — % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 75.9 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 24.1 % as manageable, — % as difficult and — % as very difficult.
4. 86.2 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 13.8 % that 70 to 85 %, — % that 55 to 70% and only — % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 69 % of students opted for adequate, — % for inadequate, 31 % for more than adequate and — % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 96.6 % of students opted for easily, 3.4 % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not all and — % for with great difficulty.
7. 82.8 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 17.2 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and — % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 96.6 %, as sometimes effective by 3.4 %, just satisfactorily by — % and — % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 51.7 % students mentioned mostly yes, 3.5 % as sometime, — % as not at all and 44.8 % as always.

10. 89.7 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 11.9 % as get involved in discussion in class, 20.7 % as encouraged discussion and — % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 100 % of students, as sometimes helpful by — %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 89.7 % of students, as sometimes rude by 3.5 % as always indifferent by 7.3 % and 3 % of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 93.1 % of students, sometimes unfair by 2.7 %, usually unfair by — % and sometimes fair by 4.2 % of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 100 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by — % and sometimes effective by — % of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 93.1 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 37.9 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by — % and feedback without comments was the choice of — % of students.
16. 93.1 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 6.9 % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only — % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

- Feedback is satisfactory.
- Appreciation by students.

Action taken :

- Encouragement should be given to the students to participate in the activities.
- Discussion methods should be adopted.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akliuj Tal. Malshiras Dist. Solapur


1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.

१६ प्रतिसाद




2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .

१६ प्रतिसाद




3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?

१६ प्रतिसाद




4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेश्या
- Inadequate अपुण्या
- More than adequate गरजेपेक्षा जास्त
- Very poor अतिसामान्य

6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?


१६ प्रतिसाद



- Easily सहजपणे
- with some difficulty थोड्या त्रासाने
- not available at all मुळीच उपलब्ध उपलब्ध न्हवते
- with great difficulty खूप प्रयत्नाने

7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?


१६ प्रतिसाद



- thoroughly खोलवर
- satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- poorly खूप कमी
- indifferently समप्रमाणात

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?


१६ प्रतिसाद



- always effective नेहमी परिणामकारक
- sometimes effective कधीतरी परिणामकारक
- just satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- generally ineffective नेहमीच असमाधानकारक


9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

१६ प्रतिसाद




11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल

१६ प्रतिसाद



- always courteous नेहमी आदरणीय
- sometimes rude कधी कधी रुक्ष
- always indifferent नेहमी समान

13. Internal assessment was अंतर्गत मूल्यमापनअसे असते

१६ प्रतिसाद



- always fair नेहमीच पारदर्शक
- sometimes unfair कधी कधी अपारदर्शक
- usually unfair नेहमीच अपारदर्शक
- sometimes fair कधी कधी पारदर्शक

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


१६ प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक

15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



- yes, fully होय पूर्णपणे
- yes, partly होय काही प्रमाणात
- not discussed at all चर्चा केली जात नाही
- sometimes discussed कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

१६ प्रतिसाद



- Yes होय
- No नाही

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

१६ प्रतिसाद

No

All are good subject

Nothing

Nahi

No.

नाही

No.



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLIJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Manohar Jaysheela B.

1. Regarding syllabus, 75 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 25 % as challenging and — % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 62.5 % of students, inadequate by — %, more than adequate by 37.5 % and — % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 93.8 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 6.2 % as manageable, — % as difficult and — % as very difficult.
4. 87.5 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 12.5 % that 70 to 85 %, — % that 55 to 70% and only — % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 88.8 % of students opted for adequate, — % for inadequate, 91.2 % for more than adequate and — % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 100 % of students opted for easily, — % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not all and — % for with great difficulty.
7. 68.8 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 31.2 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and — % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 81.3 %, as sometimes effective by 5.2 %, just satisfactorily by 12.5 % and — % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 62.5 % students mentioned mostly yes, — % as sometime, — % as not at all and 37.5 % as always.

10. 87.5 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 60 % as get involved in discussion in class, 18.8 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 100 % of students, as sometimes helpful by — %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 81.3 % of students, as sometimes rude by — % as always indifferent by 18.8 % and — % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 100 % of students, sometimes unfair by — %, usually unfair by — % and sometimes fair by — % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 100 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by — % and sometimes effective by — % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 93.8 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 43.8 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 100 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, — % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only — % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :


- Feedback is satisfactory.
- Students appreciate the teacher in all respects.
- Keep it on.

Action taken :

- Thorough preparations for class are needed.
- Reading material and other teaching aids should be prepared.


1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.

२२ प्रतिसाद




2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .

२२ प्रतिसाद




3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?

२२ प्रतिसाद



5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमासाठी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?

२२ प्रतिसाद



7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?

२२ प्रतिसाद




12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल

२२ प्रतिसाद



13. Internal assessment was अंतर्गत मूल्यमापनअसे असते


२२ प्रतिसाद



- always fair नेहमीच पारदर्शक
- sometimes unfair कधी कधी अपारदर्शक
- usually unfair नेहमीच अपारदर्शक
- sometimes fair कधी कधी पारदर्शक

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


२२ प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक


15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

२२ प्रतिसाद



16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

२२ प्रतिसाद



- yes, fully होय पूर्णपणे
- yes, partly होय काही प्रमाणात
- not discussed at all चर्चा केली जात नाही
- sometimes discussed कधीतरी चर्चा केली जाते

17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

२२ प्रतिसाद



- Yes होय
- No नाही

18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

२२ प्रतिसाद

No

Nothing

Khup mst teaching kel 😊

सुचना नाहीत

Nahi

All subjects are good

No comments

नाही

Kahi nahi



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLIJ
QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3
FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Nimbhorkar Rajashree R.

1. Regarding syllabus, 81.8 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 18.2 % as challenging and — % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 63.6 % of students, inadequate by — %, more than adequate by 36.4 % and — % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 81.8 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 18.2 % as manageable, — % as difficult and — % as very difficult.
4. 90.9 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 9.1 % that 70 to 85 %, — % that 55 to 70 % and only — % students are of the opinion that less than 55 % syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 68.2 % of students opted for adequate, 4.2 % for inadequate, 27.3 % for more than adequate and — % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 100 % of students opted for easily, — % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not at all and — % for with great difficulty.
7. 81.8 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 18.2 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and — % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 81.8 %, as sometimes effective by 4.6 %, just satisfactorily by 13.6 % and — % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 59.1 % students mentioned mostly yes, 9.1 % as sometime, — % as not at all and 31.8 % as always.

10. 81.8 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 12 % as get involved in discussion in class, 13.6 % as encouraged discussion and — % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.
11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 95.5 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 4.5 %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.
12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 86.4 % of students, as sometimes rude by — % as always indifferent by 13.6 % and — % of students had not mentioned the comment.
13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 90.9 % of students, sometimes unfair by — %, usually unfair by 5.1 % and sometimes fair by 4 % of students.
14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 90.9 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by 5.1 % and sometimes effective by 4 % of students.
15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 86.4 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 36.4 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 36.4 % and feedback without comments was the choice of — % of students.
16. 95.5 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 4.5 % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only — % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.
17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

- Feedback is satisfactory.
- Performance is upto the mark.

Action taken :

- Teacher is suggested to make efforts in teaching-learning process and adopt the more participatory ways of teaching.

1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.

२५ प्रतिसाद




2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .

२५ प्रतिसाद




3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?

२५ प्रतिसाद




4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?

२५ प्रतिसाद



5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेष्ट्या
- Inadequate अपुन्या
- More than adequate गरजेपेक्षा जास्त
- Very poor अतिसामान्य

6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- Easily सहजपणे
- with some difficulty थोड्या त्रासाने
- not available at all मुळीच उपलब्ध उपलब्ध न्हवते
- with great difficulty खूप प्रयत्नाने

7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- thoroughly खोलवर
- satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- poorly खूप कमी
- indifferently समप्रमाणात

8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- always effective नेहमी परिणामकारक
- sometimes effective कधीतरी परिणामकारक
- just satisfactorily समाधानकारक
- generally ineffective नेहमीच असमाधानकारक

9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- mostly Yes खूपवेळा
- sometimes कधी कधी
- not at all कधीच नाही
- always नेहमीच


10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

२५ प्रतिसाद



11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- very helpful खूप
- sometimes helpful कधी कधी
- not at all helpful सल्ला उपयुक्त नसतो
- did not advice कधीच नाही

12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल


२५ प्रतिसाद



- always courteous नेहमीच आदरणीय
- sometimes rude कधी कधी रुक्ष
- always indifferent नेहमी समान

13. Internal assessment was अंतर्गत मूल्यमापनअसे असते

२५ प्रतिसाद



- always fair नेहमीच पारदर्शक
- sometimes unfair कधी कधी अपारदर्शक
- usually unfair नेहमीच अपारदर्शक
- sometimes fair कधी कधी पारदर्शक

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


२५ प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक

15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

२५ प्रतिसाद




16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

२५ प्रतिसाद



17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

२५ प्रतिसाद



18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

२५ प्रतिसाद

No

No

All teachers are teaches very good

Kay nahi

Nothing



No comments

नाही

Nothing any suggestion.

Name of the Teacher: Mr. Ghadge Amit B.

1. Regarding syllabus, 72 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 28 % as challenging and — % as dull.

2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 68 % of students, inadequate by — %, more than adequate by 30 % and — % of students could not vote for any of the options.

3. 86 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 16 % as manageable, — % as difficult and — % as very difficult.

4. 80 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 12 % that 70 to 85 %, 4 % that 55 to 70% and only 4 % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.

5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 68 % of students opted for adequate, — % for inadequate, 28 % for more than adequate and 4 % for very poor.

6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 96 % of students opted for easily, 4 % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not all and — % for with great difficulty.

7. 92 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 8 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and — % as indifferently.

8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 88 %, as sometimes effective by — %, just satisfactorily by 12 % and — % of students as generally ineffective.

9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 60 % students mentioned mostly yes, — % as sometime, — % as not at all and 40 % as always.

10. 72 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 42 % as get involved in discussion in class, 6 % as encouraged discussion and — % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 96 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 4 %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 80 % of students, as sometimes rude by — % as always indifferent by 20 % and — % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 96 % of students, sometimes unfair by — %, usually unfair by — % and sometimes fair by 4 % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 100 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by — % and sometimes effective by — % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 80 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 56 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 4 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 100 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, — % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only — % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :


- It is clear from the feedback that the overall feedback is satisfactory.
- Learning materials & teaching materials are needed to be increased.
- Completion of syllabus is necessary.

Action taken :

- The teacher is suggested to use more tools & techniques of teaching.
- Students should be encouraged to raise questions.

1. The syllabus of each course wasप्रत्येक विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम हाहोता.


२३ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेसा
- Inadequate अपुरा
- Challenging आव्हानात्मक
- Dull निरस

2. Background for benefiting from the course was अभ्यासक्रमातून होणारा लाभहोता .


२३ प्रतिसाद



- Adequate पुरेसा
- Inadequate अपुरा
- More than adequate गरजेपेक्षा अधिक
- Cannot say सांगता येत नाही

3. Was the course easy or difficult to understand? विषय समजण्यास सोपे होते की अवघड ?


२३ प्रतिसाद



- Easy सोपे
- Manageable प्रयत्नपूर्वक समजण्यायोगे
- Difficult अवघड
- Very difficult अतिशय अवघड

4. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? अभ्यासक्रमातील टक्के भाग वर्गात शिकवण्यात आला ?


२३ प्रतिसाद



- 85 to 100 % ८५ ते १०० टक्के
- 70 to 85 % ७० ते ८५ टक्के
- 55 to 70 % ५५ ते ७० टक्के
- less than 55 % ५५ टक्क्यापेक्षा कमी

5. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course? अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित ग्रंथालय साहित्य व इतर सुविधा कशा आहेत ?

२३ प्रतिसाद




6. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings? निर्देशित केलेले वाचन साहित्य किती प्रमाणात उपलब्ध उपलब्ध होते ?

२३ प्रतिसाद



7. How well did the teacher prepares for the classes? शिक्षक हे वर्गामध्ये किती तयारीने येतात ?

२३ प्रतिसाद




8. How well was the teacher able to communicate? शिक्षक हे वर्गात संवाद साधण्यासाठी किती सक्षम होते ?

२३ प्रतिसाद




9. How far the teacher encourages students participation in class? शिक्षक वर्गामध्ये विद्यार्थ्यांच्या सहभागाला किती प्रोत्साहन देतात ?

२३ प्रतिसाद



10. If yes, which of the following methods were used? होय असल्यास खालीलपैकी कोणत्या पद्धतीचा वापर करतात ?

२३ प्रतिसाद




11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? शिक्षक सल्ला देण्यामध्ये किती मदत करतात ?

२३ प्रतिसाद



12. The teacher's approach can best be described as शिक्षकांचा दृष्टिकोन हा सर्वात चांगला असा वर्णन करता येईल


२३ प्रतिसाद



- always courteous नेहमी आदरणीय
- sometimes rude कधी कधी रुक्ष
- always indifferent नेहमी समान

13. Internal assessment was अंतर्गत मूल्यमापनअसे असते


२३ प्रतिसाद



- always fair नेहमीच पारदर्शक
- sometimes unfair कधी कधी अपारदर्शक
- usually unfair नेहमीच अपारदर्शक
- sometimes fair कधी कधी पारदर्शक

14. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? तुमच्या मतानुसार तुमच्या अभ्यासक्रमाच्या दर्जावर अंतर्गत मूल्यांकनाचा काय परिणाम झाला ?


२३ प्रतिसाद



- helps to improve सुधारणेसाठी उपयुक्त
- discouraging निरुत्साही
- no special effect काहीही विशेष परिणाम नाही
- sometimes effective कधी कधी परिणामकारक

15. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance? विद्यार्थ्यांच्या प्रगतीबद्दल शिक्षक किती प्रतिसाद देतात ?

२३ प्रतिसाद




16. Were your assignments discussed with you? तुमच्या चाचणी परीक्षा किंवा परिपाठाबद्दल तुमच्याशी चर्चा केली जाते का ?

२३ प्रतिसाद



17. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning ? वर्षाच्या सुरुवातीला विषयाशी संबंधित सहाय्यक व्याख्यान घेतले जाते काय ?

२३ प्रतिसाद



18. If you have other comments to offer on the course and suggestions for the teacher, you may do soजर अभ्यासक्रमाशी संबंधित तुमची काही मते असतील आणि शिक्षकांशी संबंधित काही सूचना असतील तर येथे लिहा.

२३ प्रतिसाद

No

Nahi

No comments

Nothing

Nahi

Khup mst teaching kel ahe 😊

Amhala upyogi yeil asa abhyaskram hota

Abhyaskram changes HOTA Ambala upyogi padnyasarkha

No suggestions.



SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR WOMEN, AKULJ

QUESTIONNAIRE NO. 3

FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS

Students' overall evaluation of the Programme and Teaching

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Surve Rahul N.

1. Regarding syllabus, 78.3 % of students commented as adequate, — % as inadequate, 21.7 % as challenging and — % as dull.
2. Background for benefitting from the course was voted as adequate by 56.5 % of students, inadequate by — % more than adequate by 43.5 % and — % of students could not vote for any of the options.
3. 78.3 % of students mentioned that the course was easy, 21.7 % as manageable, — % as difficult and — % as very difficult.
4. 78.3 % of students opined that 85 to 100 % syllabus was covered in the class, 21.7 % that 70 to 85 %, — % that 55 to 70% and only — % students are of the opinion that less than 55% syllabus is covered in the class.
5. Regarding the library material and facilities for the course, 73.9 % of students opted for adequate, — % for inadequate, 26.1 % for more than adequate and — % for very poor.
6. Regarding the availability of the prescribed material, 91.3 % of students opted for easily, — % for with some difficulty, — % for not available not all and 8.7 % for with great difficulty.
7. 69.6 % of students mentioned that teacher prepares thoroughly for the classes, 26.1 % as satisfactorily, — % as poorly and 4.3 % as indifferently.
8. Communication abilities of the teacher were opted as always effective by 95.7 %, sometimes effective by 4.3 %, just satisfactorily by — % and — % of students as generally ineffective.
9. Regarding the encouragement for participation of students in class by teacher, 17.8 % students mentioned mostly yes, 1.4 % as sometime, — % as not at all and — % as always.

10. 75.2 % students elected encouraged to raise questions, 43.5 % as get involved in discussion in class, 21.7 % as encouraged discussion and 0 % as didn't encourage outside the class, regarding the method of encouragement for participation.

11. Teacher was mentioned as very helpful in advising by 95.7 % of students, as sometimes helpful by 4.3 %, as not at all helpful by — % and didn't advise by — %.

12. The teacher's approach was described as always courteous by 87 % of students, as sometimes rude by — % as always indifferent by 8.7 % and 4.3 % of students had not mentioned the comment.

13. The internal assessment was mentioned as always fair by 95.7 % of students, sometimes unfair by — %, usually unfair by 4.3 % and sometimes fair by — % of students.

14. The effect of internal assessment on course grade was commented as helps to improve by 91.3 %, discouraging by — %, no special effect by 4.2 % and sometimes effective by 4.5 % of students.

15. Regular feedback from teacher on students' performance was mentioned by 82.6 %, regular feedback with helpful comments by 39.1 %, late feedback from teacher was mentioned by 0 % and feedback without comments was the choice of 0 % of students.

16. 87 % students opined that the assignments were discussed by teacher with them, 8.7 % students noted that they were partly discussed, — % students that they were not discussed at all and only 4.3 % of students commented that assignments were discussed sometimes.

17. 100 % of students were affirmative for the provision of course contributory lecture at the beginning and — % mentioned that no such lecture was conducted.

Conclusion :

It is clear from feedback that the teacher's performance is upto the mark. But certain improvements are needed.

Action taken :


- Use of proper teaching materials is suggested.
- Library materials have to be incorporated.

28/03/2021

Analysis of Feedback From Teachers

Academic Year : 2020-2021

Q. No.	Responses	Answer Options							
		Very Good		Good		Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	7	87.50	1	12.50	0	0.00	0	0
2	8	5	62.50	3	37.50	0	0.00	0	0
3	8	8	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0
4	8	6	75.00	2	25.00	0	0.00	0	0
5	8	5	62.50	3	37.50	0	0.00	0	0
6	8	7	87.50	1	12.50	0	0.00	0	0
7	8	3	37.50	4	50.00	1	12.50	0	0
8	8	6	75.00	2	25.00	0	0.00	0	0





SMT. RATNAPRABHADEVI MOHITE PATIL COLLEGE OF HOME SCIENCE FOR
WOMEN, AKLUJ

FEEDBACK FROM TEACHERS

Programme : B.Sc. Home Science

Academic Year :

Feedback was collected from Teachers on curriculum aspects through Questionnaire No.1.
The analyses of for Academic year is as follows.

1. Regarding the depth of the course content , 87.5 % teachers commented as very good , while 12.5 as good , 0 % as satisfactory and 0 % as unsatisfactory.
2. 62.5 % of teachers commented as very good for the extent of coverage of the course , 37.5 % as good , % as satisfactory & % as unsatisfactory.
3. Applicability of the curriculum and it's relevance to the real life situations is appreciated as very good by 100 % , good by % , satisfactory by % and % of teachers are unsatisfied in this regard .
4. The learning values in curriculum are states as very good by 75 % of teachers , 25 % as good , % as satisfactory and % as unsatisfactory.
5. 62.5 % of teachers opted the clarity and relevance of textual reading material as very good , 37.5 % as good , % as satisfactory and % unsatisfactory.
6. 87.5 % of teachers commented on relevance of additional source material as very good , 12.5 % as good , % as satisfactory and % as unsatisfactory.
7. Extent of efforts required by teachers are appreciated as very good by 37.5 % of teachers , 50 % as good , 12.5 % as satisfactory and % as unsatisfactory.
8. 75 % of teachers gave overall rating as very good , 25 % as good , % as satisfactory and % as unsatisfactory.

Conclusion :

Most of the teachers gave satisfactory feed-
back on the curriculum. Textual Reading
material has to be increased. Extent of efforts
should be increased.

Action taken :

It is suggested to make necessary changes
in the syllabi & convey to concerned BOS.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj Tal. Marathwada Dist. Solapur


Analysis of Feedback From Alumni

Academic Year : 2020-2021

१. आपल्या मते महाविद्यालयाच्या परिसराची शैक्षणिक अनुकूलता कशी आहे ?




५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक

२. महाविद्यालयाची इमारत कशी आहे?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक

३. महाविद्यालयाच्या इमारतीचे गावाच्या दृष्टीने भौगोलिक स्थान कसे आहे ?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक


४. वर्ग खोल्या आणि विद्यार्थिनी संख्या यांचे प्रमाण कसे आहे?

५२ प्रतिसाद




५. ग्रंथालय आणि ग्रंथालयीन सुविधा कशा आहेत?

५२ प्रतिसाद




६. पिण्याच्या पाण्याची सोय आणि पुरवठा कसा आहे?

५२ प्रतिसाद




७. प्रसाधन गृहांची संख्या आणि स्वच्छता कशी असते?

५२ प्रतिसाद



८. महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविला जाणारा अभ्यासक्रम कसा आहे?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक

९. महाविद्यालयाद्वारे राबविले जाणारे अभ्यासेतर उपक्रम कसे असतात?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक

१०. महाविद्यालयातील फर्निचर आणि प्रयोगशाळा अशा आहेत?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक

११. महाविद्यालयातील शिक्षकवृंद कसे आहेत?


५२ प्रतिसाद



- अति उत्तम
- उत्तम
- समाधानकारक
- असमाधानकारक


१२. गृहविज्ञान विषयाचा अभ्यासक्रम प्रत्यक्ष जीवनाशी किती प्रमाणात निगडित आहे ?

५२ प्रतिसाद




१३. महाविद्यालयात शिकविल्या जाणाऱ्या अभ्यासक्रमाचा आवाका कसा आहे?

५२ प्रतिसाद




१४. अभ्यासक्रमाचे प्रत्यक्ष जीवनातील उपयोजन कसे आहे?

५२ प्रतिसाद



१५. अभ्यासक्रमाची शैक्षणिक मूल्ये, ज्ञान संकल्पना, कौशल्ये व तार्किक क्षमता अशा आहेत?

५२ प्रतिसाद





FEEDBACK OF ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM

ACADEMIC YEAR : 2020-2021

1. Regarding the educational feasibility of the college campus, 65% of the alumni recorded very good, 30.8 % good and 3.8% satisfactory feedback.
2. Feedback for building facility is given very good by 59.6%, good by 32.7% and satisfactory by 7.7% alumni.
3. Geographical location of the college is appreciated as very good by 67.3%, good by 28.8% and 3.9% as satisfactory.
4. The ratio of classrooms to the number of students is recorded as very good by 40.4%, as good by 48.1% and as satisfactory by 11.5% of the alumni.
5. Feedback for library and library facilities is as; Very good – 61.5%, Good – 32.7% and satisfactory-4.8%.
6. Provision of water facility is appreciated as Very good by 48.1%, good by 46.2% and 5.7% of alumni gave feedback as satisfactory.
7. Regarding the number and facility of washroom, 44.2% alumni recorded very good, 44.2% as good and 11.5% as satisfactory feedback.
8. Curriculum delivery is appreciated as very good by 69.2% and good by 30.8% of the alumni.
9. Co-curricular activities conducted by the college are given the feedback as very good by 65.4%, good by 28.8% and 5.8 % as satisfactory.
10. Feedback for furniture and laboratories is as : Very good- 50%, good-44.2% and satisfactory-5.8% of the alumni.
11. Feedback for teaching staff is as : Very good- 75%, good-25%.
12. The relevance of the Home Science curriculum to real life situations is appreciated by 73% of the alumni as very good, 23% as good and 3.8% as satisfactory.
13. The scope of the curriculum is appreciated by 46.2% of alumni as very good, 40.0% as good, 11.5% as satisfactory and 1.9% alumni as unsatisfactory.
14. The applicability of the curriculum in real life situations is recorded as very good by 51.9% of the alumni, good by 44.2% and 3.9% as satisfactory.
15. Values in education, knowledge base, skills and logical capacities in the curriculum are appreciated by 61.5% as very good, 34.6% as good and 3.9% of the alumni as satisfactory.

Conclusion;

- Alumni has critically evaluated the curricular and infrastructural aspects.
- Satisfactory feedback is received on curricular aspects. Improvements in infra-related aspects suggested.

Action taken ;

- Scope and applicability of Home Science should be more elaborated to students. Exposure should be made with respect to job opportunities and entrepreneurship development. Career Counseling sessions should be conducted.

PRINCIPAL

Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohan Dali
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj, Tah. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur

WUBM

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 06/07/2020 at 1:30 pm in the NAAC room.

following issues were discussed in the meeting

1. Subject 01: To finalize the minutes of the last meeting held on 20/6/2020

Discussion: The minutes of the meeting were discussed and finalized by all the members of the committee.

2. Subject 02: To study, analyze and infer the feedback obtained for last academic year.

Discussion: To feedback for the last academic year was collected and analyzed by the committee members. The graphical & statistical analysis was done and presented before the committee. Feedback from students, alumni and teachers were collected and analyzed systematically. The affirmative as well as low graded feedback were discussed in detail.

3. Subject 03: To discuss the action plan to be undertaken as per the analysis of the feedback report.

Discussion: On the basis of the feedback analysis and conclusions made after the analysis for each criterion of the feedback, mode of action was discussed and mentioned specifically in the feedback analysis reports. All the plan of actions was put before the Principal for evaluation and necessary execution.

4. Subject no 4 : To discuss any changes/suggest
in the feedback collection methods
for the current academic year.
Discussion : The feedback collection and
analysis system was discussed
by the committee. The present
mode was found to be
easy and useful by all the
members and hence decided
to go with the same system
here after also.

5. Subject no 5 : To discuss any other relevant
issues raised during the
meeting.
Discussion : No such issue was raised in
the meeting.

K. N. B. H.
Dr. Manohar P. B.

Principal
PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnabrahadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akluj, Tal. Matshiras, Dist. Solapur

Minutes of the meeting

The meeting of feedback committee was held on 29/7/2021 at 2:00 in the NAAC room following issues were discussed in the meeting

01 Subject No 1: To finalize the minutes of last meeting held on 06/07/2020

Discussion: The minutes of the last meeting were discussed and finalized.

02 Subject No 2: To discuss the matters of online feedback mechanisms.

Discussion: It was decided to make use of online feedback forms. Members suggested to construct a combined feedback form so that students, alumni & teachers can easily give the feedback.

03 Subject No 3: To discuss the mode of action to be taken on feedback analysis reports.

Discussion: It was decided to work on the feedback analysis conclusions topic wise. Stakeholders should be communicated about the changes or improvements.

04 Subject No 4: To discuss the issues of uploading the feedback reports on college website.

Discussion: It was decided to upload the feedback analysis reports on the college website along with the action taken reports.

05 Subject No 5: To discuss any other issue raised in meeting.

Discussion: No other issue was raised.

K. B. M.
Dr. Manohar S B

PRINCIPAL
Smt. Ratnaprabhadevi Mohite-Patil
College of Home Science for Women
Akulj, Tah. Malshiras, Dist. Solapur